Surgical Pause Symposium Day 1 – Table of Contents

- History of the RAI & Current State Pages 2-85
- Scaling the Surgical Pause in VISN 8 Pages 86-156
- Age Friendly Health Systems Pages 157-164
- Palliative Care Applications Pages 165-176
- Determining What Matters Pages 177-196
- Strategies for Multidisciplinary Evaluation Pages 197-275
- Resident & Provider Education Pages 276-303







History of the Surgical Pause and Current State Jason Johanning & Daniel Hall



Disclosures:

- Jason Johanning owns intellectual property related to frailty assessment through FutureAssure, LLC
- Daniel Hall has an unpaid consulting relationship with FutureAssure, LLC.
- Jason Johanning owns intellectual property related to targeted pressure relief through BlueFlower, LLC





Outline

- The Risk Analysis Index & the Surgical Pause
 - Origin story with color commentary
 - Conceptual framework
 - Data—It works
- Implementation Nuts and Bolts
- Lessons Learned
- Your Questions





Origin Story & Conceptual Framework Omaha: We've got a problem

Observed/Expected Mortality at the Omaha VAMC (Red points are > 90% Confidence Interval)



How does a normal Chief of Surgery approach this situation? Run a high volume program!



Origin Story & Conceptual Framework Omaha: We've got a problem

Observed/Expected Mortality at the Omaha VAMC (Red points are > 90% Confidence Interval)



The Actors:

- Jason M. Johanning: Chief of Surgery
- Thomas G. Lynch, Chief of Staff-Soon to be ADUSH Clinical Operations
- William Gunnar, Director NSO
- William Nylander, Deputy Director NSO
- Mark Wilson, Medical Director VASQIP
- Marylin Lynn, NP-National Nurse Executive, NSO
- Dan Hall, Pittsburgh VA/CHERP
- Shipra Arya, Creighton University Resident/Vascular Surgeon Atlanta

Think Different:

• If I told you your life expectancy was six months would you want a major elective ventral hernia repair?



- 1/3 of patients had surgical interventions in last year of life
 - Majority occurred in month before death
- Surgery associated with
 - More admissions
 - Longer LOS
 - Greater ICU LOS





Kwok AC. Lancet. 2011;378(9800):1408-1413.

Visionary...

Table 1. Dennis W. Jahnigen Career Development Scholar Awards (JCDA) Scholars and Jahnigen/Grants for Early Medical/Surgical Specialists' Transition to Aging Research (GEMSSTAR) Scholars: 2002–15

	JCDA Scholars (2002–09)	JCDA and GEMSSTAR Scholars (2011–15)	Scholars Funded (2002–15)	Percentage of Total Funded (2002–15)
Specialty			n	
Anesthesiology	6	5	11	11%
Emergency medicine	14	5	19	18%
General surgery	18	2	21	20%
Gynecology	4	4	8	8%
Ophthalmology	10	1	11	11%
Orthopaedic surgery	5	2	7	7%
Otolaryngology	5	0	5	5%
Physical medicine and rehabilitation	7	0	7	7%
Thoracic surgery	2	0	2	2%
Urology	8	2	10	10%
Vascular surgery	0	3	3	3%
Total	79	25	104	100%

Visionary...

Table 4. Number of Jahnigen Scholar Publications According to Year

Year	Publications, n
2002	532
2003	350
2004	386
2005	301
2006	423
2007	561
2008	385
2009	269
2010	259
2011	284
2012	307
2013	324
2014	369
Total	4,750





A little bit of this and a little of that:

The American Journal of Surgery (2011) 202, 511-514

The American Journal of Surgery*

Association of VA Surgeons

Frailty predicts increased hospital and six-month healthcare cost following colorectal surgery in older adults

Thomas N. Robinson, M.D., F.A.C.S.^{a,b,}*, Daniel S. Wu, M.D.^{a,b}, Gregory V. Stiegmann, M.D., F.A.C.S.^{a,b}, Marc Moss, M.D.^c

^aDepartment of Surgery, Denver Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Denver, CO, USA; ^bDepartment of Surgery and ^cDepartment of Medicine, University of Colorado at Denver Health Sciences Center, Aurora, CO, USA

ORIGINAL SCIENTIFIC ARTICLE

Frailty as a Predictor of Surgical Outcomes in Older Patients

Makary, Martin A. MD, MPH, FACS^{a, d, *}; Segev, Dorry L. MD, PhD, FACS^{a, e}; Pronovost, Peter J. MD, PhD^{a, c, d}; Syin, Dora MD^a; Bandeen-Roche, Karen PhD^f; Patel, Purvi MD, MPH^a; Takenaga, Ryan MD^{a, b}; Devgan, Lara MD, MPH^a; Holzmueller, Christine G. BLA^c; Tian, Jing MS^b; Fried, Linda P. MD, MPH^b

Author Information 😔

Journal of the American College of Surgeons 210(6):p 901-908, June 2010. | *DOI:* 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2010.01.028

Frailty Conceptualized



Figure 1. Representation of conceptual framework of two major theories on frailty. (A) Physical frailty, also termed phenotypic or syndromic frailty, is hypothesized to have a specific age-related biological basis that drives the appearance of signs and symptoms (outward pointing arrows). (B) Cumulative deficit frailty is hypothesized to be driven by cumulative nonspecific health, functional, psychological, and cognitive deficits (inward pointing arrows). Both concepts of frailty predict vulnerability to adverse outcomes and have led to multiple derivative frailty detection tools.

Walston J, et al. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2019;67(8):1559-1564.

If the shoe fits...



Risk Analysis Index

And lo and behold the RAI is mortality calculator with frailty questions

Last Name	La	st four SSN_				
Date this Form completed	1					
Date of Surgery-Anticipa	ated					
Proposed Operation						
AGE SEX AND CANC	ER ·					
1 Sev				$Eemale = 0/Ma^2$	le = 5	
I. Bex				remaie – 67 Mai	ie –	_
10A- Age and cancer Score	Score	Score	2	Age		
Age	without cancer	with cancer			_	
< + 69	2	20	(Excluding skin cancer, o	except for meland	oma)	
70 - 74	3	19		Score with Car	ncer	
75 - 79	4	18		OR		
80- 84	5	17		Score without	cancer	
85 - 89	6	15				
90 -94	7	14				
95 -99	8	14				
100+	9	13				
MEDICAL CO-MO	RBIDITIES:					
Have you had un	intentional wei	ight loss in th	e past 3 months (>10 lbs)?	1	No/Yes5	
3. Renal failure?					No/Yes 6	
4. Chronic/congest	ive heart failure	2			No/Yes 4	
5. Poor appetite?					No/Yes 4	
6. Shortness of bre	ath (at rest)?				No/Yes 8	
COGNITION RES	IDENCE ANI	ACTIVIT	V OF DAILY LIVING:		110/103_0	
Cognition and Activi	tios of Daily Li	ving	I OF DAIL I LIVING.			
	ties of Daily Li	ving.	1		N	
7. Do you reside at	a setting other	than indepen	dent living? (listed below)	_	NO/Yes_8_	_
If YES, cire	cle answer: Ski	illed Nursing	Facility/ Assisted Living/	Nursing Home		
If YES, adm	ission within in	n the past 3 m	ionths?		No/Yes	
Have your cogni	tive skills or sta	atus deteriora	ted over the last 3 months?	?	No/Yes	
Activities of Dai	ly Living Char	t:		Without cognitiv	ve decline	(0-16)
				With cognitive (lecline	(a2 to 21
				TOTAL SCOR	F	
				PERCENT		
				PERCENT		
Mobility/locomotion	Eating		Toilet use	Personal hygic	ene	
). Independent	0. Indepen	ident	0. Independent	0. Independ	ent	
 Supervised 	 Supervi 	sed	 Supervised 	 Supervise 	d	
Limited assistance	2. Limited	assistance	2. Limited assistance	Limited a	ssistance	
 Extensive assistance 	Extensi	ve	Extensive	Extensive		
 Total dependence 	assistan	ce	assistance	assistance	8	
					-	

INDEPENDENT = No help or oversight - OR - help or oversight provided only 1 or 2 times in last 7 days SUPERVISED = Oversight, supervision or cueing provided 3 or more times during the last 7 days.

LIMITED ASSISSTANCE= patient highly involved in activity; but received physical help in guided maneuvering of limbs or other non weight bearing assistance 3 or more times in the last 7 days.

EXTENSIVE ASSISSTANCE= while patient performed part of activity over last 7 day period, help was provided for the following: weight bearing support OR full staff performance during part of the past 7 days. TOTAL DEPENDENCE= full staff performance during the past 7 days. TOTAL DEPENDENCE= full staff performance during the past 7 days.

12- ADL POINTS SCORE	ADL POINTS SCORE with COGNITIVE DECLINE
0	ADL score -2
1,2	ADL score -1
3,4	ADL score 0
5,6,7	ADL score +1
8,9	ADL score +2
10,11	ADL score +3
12,13	ADL score +4
14,15,16	ADL score +5

Points =	Points =	Points =
percent	percent	percent
0-5 =	26-30 =	56-60 =
4%	27%	89%
6-10 =	31-35 =	6165 =
4%	36%	90%
11-15 =	36-40 =	66-70 =
11-15 = 7%	36 - 40 = 47%	66-70 = 93%
11-15 = 7% 16-20 =	36 - 40 = 47% 41 - 45 =	66-70 = 93% 71-75 =
11-15 = 7% 16-20 = 11%	36 - 40 = 47% 41 - 45 = 58%	66-70 = 93% 71-75 = 100%
11-15 = 7% 16-20 = 11% 21-25 =	36 - 40 = 47% 41 - 45 = 58% 46-50 =	66-70 = 93% 71-75 = 100%

Prove it's frailty

Deficit Accumulation Index (DAI) (Rockwood & Mitnitski 2001,2004,2006,2007a,b)

Appendix 1: List of variables used by the Canadian Study of Health and Aging to construct the 70-item CSHA Frailty Index

- · Changes in everyday activities
- · Head and neck problems
- Poor muscle tone in neck
- Bradykinesia, facial
- Problems getting dressed
- Problems carrying out personal grooming
- · Urinary incontinence
- Toileting problems
- Bulk difficulties
- Rectal problems
- Gastrointestinal problems
- Problems cooking
- Sucking problems
- · Problems going out alone
- Impaired mobility
- Musculoskeletal problems
- · Bradykinesia of the limbs
- · Poor muscle tone in limbs
- Poor limb coordination
- · Poor coordination, trunk
- · Poor standing posture
- Irregular gait pattern
- · Falls

- Mood problems
- · Feeling sad, blue, depressed
- · History of depressed mood
- Tiredness all the time
- Depression (clinical impression)
- · Short-term memory impairment
- · Long-term memory impairment
- · Changes in general mental functioning
- · Paranoid features
- · History relevant to cognitive impairment or loss
- impairment or loss
- · Impaired vibration
- Tremor at rest
- Postural tremor
- Intention tremor
- · History of Parkinson's disease
- · Family history of degenerative disease

- · Seizures, partial complex
- · Seizures, generalized
- Syncope or blackouts
- Headache
- Cerebrovascular problems
- · History of stroke
- · History of diabetes mellitus
- · Arterial hypertension
- Peripheral pulses
- · Cardiac problems
- Myocardial infarction
- · Arrhythmia
- Congestive heart failure
- Lung problems
- Respiratory problems
- · History of thyroid disease
- Thyroid problems
- · Skin problems
- Malignant disease
- Breast problems
- Abdominal problems
- Presence of snout reflex
- · Presence of the palmomental reflex
- Other medical history

Rockwood, J Gerontol, 2007a

- Sleep changes
 - Restlessness
 - · Memory changes

 - · Onset of cognitive symptoms · Clouding or delirium

 - - · Family history relevant to cognitive

Now how accurate is your eyeball?



Risk score versus physician-rated KPS to predict chemotherapy toxicity



Hurria A, JCO 2011;29:3457-3465

©2011 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

It can't hurt to measure frailty?

•And I am sure surgeons don't have a clue what frailty really represents?

Deployed the RAI in Anesthesia Pre-Op clinic

- Accurate and easy
- Rapid review was possible
 - 100 cases a week/5-10 cases to review
- Too late in process
- Moved to clinic
- Required to book your operation
- Placed into scheduling package to alert all providers of patients frailty score as they proceeded through surgical care
- Partnered with Pierre Levadan, MD (Palliative Care)

So what happened?

- Conducted weekly review of all surgeries scheduled on frail patients.
 - Spoke with surgeon to review operative decision making.
 - OK spoke with Chief Residents
 - Spoke with anesthesiologists to optimize anesthetic plan.
 - Ok spoke to Chief of Anesthesia Scott Hofmann
 - Spoke with intensivists to encourage post-operative rescue from near certain complications.
 - Matt Goede and Armour Forse
 - Aggressive referral for preoperative palliative care to clarify goals.
 - Pierre Levadan...Multiple conversations

Leading Indicator:

- Dr. Debra Romberger:
 - Chief of Research Omaha VA
 - Pulmonary Intensivist
 - Current Chief of Medicine UNMC
- We Need to Meet: Director's Conference Room
- Your assessment program is potentially limiting patients from having life saving operations!
- But you told me 6 months ago I was killing people by offering unnecessary operations?
- OK...Prove it works

Lagging Indicator: Decreased Mortality

Observed/Expected Mortality at the Omaha VAMC (Red points are > 90% Confidence Interval)



What is the singularly most asked question regarding frailty and surgery



Proving it...



Original Investigation | ASSOCIATION OF VA SURGEONS

Surgical Palliative Care Consultations Over Time in Relationship to Systemwide Frailty Screening

Katherine F. Ernst, BS; Daniel E. Hall, MD, MDiv, MHSc; Kendra K. Schmid, PhD; Georgia Seever, RN; Pierre Lavedan, MD; Thomas G. Lynch, MD, MHA; Jason Michael Johanning, MD, MS

And then the snowball started...



JAMA Surgery | Original Investigation

Association of a Frailty Screening Initiative With Postoperative Survival at 30, 180, and 365 Days

Daniel E. Hall, MD, MDiv, MHSc; Shipra Arya, MD, SM; Kendra K. Schmid, PhD, Mark A. Carlson, MD; Pierre Lavedan, MD; Travis L. Bailey, BS; Georgia Purviance, RN; Tammy Bockman, RN, MHA; Thomas G. Lynch, MD, MHCM; Jason M. Johanning, MD, MS

Cheese anyone?



From the Society for Vascular Surgery



Preoperative frailty Risk Analysis Index to stratify patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy

Alyson A. Melin, DO,^{a,b} Kendra K. Schmid, PhD,^b Thomas G. Lynch, MD,^b Iraklis I. Pipinos, MD,^{a,b} Steven Kappes, MD,^c G. Matthew Longo, MD,^{a,b} Prateek K. Gupta, MD,^d and Jason M. Johanning, MD,^{a,b} Omaha, Neb; Milwaukee, Wisc; and Memphis, Tenn

Corn anyone?





Ronald Earnst (Father of Katie) General Surgeon Columbus, NE

CCH is a 50-bed acute care facility that is certified for swing beds, with four skilled nursing beds and 14 ambulatory outpatient beds.

Why Vascular?...







- University of Utah Principal Investigator, Larry Kraiss, MD (Lead)
- Dartmouth- Hitchcock Philip P Goodney, MD, MS
- Emory University, Atlanta, GA Shipra Arya, MD, SM
- University of Nebraska Jason Johanning, MD
- Stanford University Matthew Mell, MD

Frailty4Site...

INVITED COMMENTARY

Moving frailty assessment beyond knowing it when you see it

Larry W. Kraiss, MD, Salt Lake City, Utah

"I know it when I see it" —a colloquial expression that attempts to categorize an observable fact or event, although the category is subjective or lacks clearly defined parameters.¹

somewhere in between in scope and Instruments that can be completed record review have been developed.^{6.7} no consensus as to which instrument

Frailty4Site...

Frailty assessment should become as routine (and easy) as taking vital signs. When that happens, we will be better able to meet the expectations of our patients. Larry Kraiss, MD

Never too old to learn...





HOME HEA

HEALTH AND AGING POLICY V F

FELLOWSHIP APPLICATION ~

THE FELLOWS 🗸

PROGRAM NEWS

CONTACT PC

PORTAL

DEVELOPING A CADRE OF LEADERS FOCUSED ON CHANGING POLICY TO IMPROVE THE LIVES OF OLDER AMERICANS

Perverse Incentives...the C-Suite Relationship Between Occurrence of Surgical Complications and Hospital Finances

Sunil Eappen, MD
Bennett H. Lane, MS
Barry Rosenberg, MD, MBA
Stuart A. Lipsitz, ScD
David Sadoff, MBA
Dave Matheson, JD, MBA
William R. Berry, MD, MPP, MPH
Mark Lester, MD, MBA
Atul A. Gawande, MD, MPH

Importance The effect of surgical complications on hospital finances is unclear. Objective To determine the relationship between major surgical complications and perencounter hospital costs and revenues by payer type.

Design, Setting, and Participants Retrospective analysis of administrative data for all inpatient surgical discharges during 2010 from a nonprofit 12-hospital system in the southern United States. Discharges were categorized by principal procedure and occurrence of 1 or more postsurgical complications, using International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, diagnosis and procedure codes. Nine common surgical procedures and 10 major complications across 4 payer types were analyzed. Hospital costs and revenue at discharge were obtained from hospital accounting systems and classified by payer type.

Main Outcomes and Measures Hospital costs, revenues, and contribution margin (defined as revenue minus variable expenses) were compared for patients with and without surgical complications according to payer type.

Perverse Incentives...the C-Suite Relationship Between Occurrence of Surgical Complications and Hospital Finances

- Results Of 34 256 surgical discharges, 1820 patients (5.3%; 95% CI, 4.4%-6.4%) experienced 1 or more postsurgical complications. Compared with absence of complications, complications were associated with a \$39 017 (95% CI, \$20 069-\$50 394; P.001) higher contribution margin per patient with private insurance (\$55 953 vs \$16 936) and a \$1749 (95% CI, \$976-\$3287; P.001) higher contribution margin per patient with Medicare (\$3629 vs \$1880). For this hospital system in which private insurers covered 40% of patients (13 544), Medicare covered 45% (15 406), Medicaid covered 4% (1336), and self-payment covered 6% (2202), occurrence of complications was associated with an \$8084 (95% CI, \$4903-\$9740; P.001) higher contribution margin per patient (\$15 726 vs \$7642) and with a \$7435 lower per-patient total margin (95% CI, \$5103-\$10 507; P.001) (\$1013 vs \$6422).
- Conclusions and Relevance In this hospital system, the occurrence of postsurgical complications was associated with a higher per-encounter hospital contribution margin for patients covered by Medicare and private insurance but a lower one for patients covered by Medicaid and who self-paid. Depending on payer mix, many hospitals have the potential for adverse near-term financial consequences for decreasing postsurgical complications. JAMA. 2013;309(15):1599-1606 www.jama.com
Perverse Incentives...the C-Suite



A Hospital CEO's Guide to OR Financial Improvement

Posted by Surgical Directions on Jun 6, 2023 9:00:00 AM

Perverse Incentives...the C-Suite

- 1 | Check OR contribution margin
- **Background:** Most hospitals depend on perioperative services to drive profit margins. In the current environment, however, high nursing and anesthesia coverage costs are reducing OR profitability.

Assessment: Check the OR department contribution margin. In better-performing organizations, perioperative services contributes 55% to the bottom line. If the OR contribution margin is significantly less in your facility, your hospital will struggle to achieve financial sustainability. Conversely, matching the OR contribution margin of top organizations will transform your hospital's financial results.

Opportunity: There are two ways to improve OR margins — increase revenue or decrease expenses. Most hospitals should target both strategies. However, increasing revenue by building case volume is a mid- to long-term opportunity. Hospital leaders can improve perioperative contribution margins in the short term by right-sizing the OR footprint.

Example: A 10-room OR performs 8,000 cases per year. Despite high volumes, the department is only breaking even. Right- sizing the OR to 7 rooms could reduce costs by more than \$3 million on the same volume. These savings would go straight to the bottom line



 NOT going to tell you who should/should not have surgery

- May cause anxietyNew ways of thinking
 - Changing culture is hard

We know some patients don't do well

Figure 2. Survival Curves for Risk Deciles, Excluding Patient Mortalities Prior to Postoperative Day 30



Smith T, et al., JAMA Surg. 2016;151(5):417-422.

Now how accurate is your eyeball?



	Last Name	Last four SSN						
	Date this Form complete	d						
	Date of Surgery-Anticip	ated						
	Proposed Operation							
	AGE, SEX, AND CANO	CER:						
\sim	1. Sex				Female =	0/ Male =	5	
	10A- Age and cancer Score	Score Score	2.		Age			
	Age	without cancer with cancer	(Excluding skin car	icer. e:	xcept for r	nelanoma)		
	< + 69	2 20	(Score wi	th Cancer		
	70 - 74	3 19	4		OR			
	80-84	5 17	1		Score wi	thout cancer		
	85 - 89	6 15	1		Score wi			
	90 -94	7 14	1					
	100+	8 14	4					
	MERICAL CO.M.							
	MEDICAL CO-MC	ORBIDITIES:						
	2. Have you had u	A part of the						
	3. Renal failure?					No/Yes_	_6	
	 Chronic/congest 	live heart failure?				No/Yes_	_4	
	Poor appetite?					No/Yes_	_4	
	Shortness of bre	ath (at rest)?				No/Yes_	_8	
	COGNITION, RES	IDENCE, AND ACTIVIT	TY OF DAILY LIVE	G:				
	Cognition and Activ	ities of Daily Living:						
	Do you reside at	t a setting other than indepe	ndent living? (listed b	clow)		No/Yes_	8	
	If YES, cir	cle answer: Skilled Nursin	g Facility/ Assisted Liv	/ing/ N	iursing Ho	ome		
	If YES, adm	nission within in the past 3	months?			No/Yes		
	Have your cogn	itive skills or status deterior	rated over the last 3 m	onths?		No/Yes		
	Activities of Da	ily Living Chart:			Without c	ognitive decline	(0-16)	
					With cogn	itive decline	6 2 to 2	1)
					TOTAL S	SCORE		
					PERCEN	т		
	Mobility/locomotion	Eating	Toilet use		Persona	1 hygiene		
	0. Independent	0. Independent	0. Independent		0. Ind	ependent		
	1. Supervised	1. Supervised	1. Supervised		1. Sup	ervised		
	2. Limited assistance	2. Limited assistance	2. Limited assista	ince	2. Lin	nited assistance		
	Extensive assistance	Extensive	Extensive		 Ext 	ensive		
	Total dependence	assistance	assistance		assi	istance		
		 Total dependence 	Total depende	nce	4. Tot	al dependence		
	INDEPENDENT = No help or	oversight - OR - help or oversigh	t provided only 1 or 2 times	in last 7	days SUPI	ERVISED = Oversig	ht, supervision or e	cueing
	provided 3 or more times durin	g the last 7 days.	,,					
	LIMITED ASSISSTANCE= pr	atient highly involved in activity;	but received physical help is	n guided	maneuverin	ig of limbs or other n	on weight bearing	assistance 3 or
	more times in the last 7 days.							
	EXTENSIVE ASSISSTANCE	 while patient performed part of the past 7 days, TOTAL DEPEN 	activity over last 7 day perio DENCE= full staff perform	d, help	was provided	for the following: v	weight bearing supp	port OR full
	TOTAL DEPENDENCE= full	staff performance during the past	7 days.	ance dui	ing me pase	/ uays.		
				Poir	nts =	Points =	Points =	7
				per	cent	percent	percent	
	12- ADL POINTS SCORE	ADL POINTS SCORE with CO	DGNITIVE DECLINE	0-5	-	26-30 =	56-60 =	1
	-12	ADL score -2		4%		27%	89%	
	3.4	ADL score -1		6-1	0 =	31-35 =	6165 =	1
	567	ADL score +1		4%		36%	90%	
	8.9	ADL score +2		11-1	15 =	36-40 =	66-70 =	
	10.11	ADL score +3		/%		4/%	93%	-
	12.13	ADL score +4		110-4	20 =	41-45 =	100 %	
	14,15,16	ADL score +5		21.	25 =	46-50 =	100 %	4
		•		179		69%		
				100		0570		1
auro 2 Cur	Curves for Di	ck Deciles Exclus	ling Dationt M	orta	lition D	rior to Doc	toporativ	(0 Day 20
gure z. Surv	Curves for Ri	SK Deches, Exclud	ang Patient w	UILA	illies P		loperativ	e Day 50
	_							
	100							
				_			1.	
	95					-		
%								
te	90-							
ua.								
. <u>=</u>	D	- 1						
st	Deci	le I						
111								
	Deci	le 2						

Decile 1 Decile 2 Decile 3 Decile 4 Decile 5 Decile 6 Decile 9 Decile 9 Decile 9 Decile 9 Decile 9 Decile 9 Decile 10

Risk Analysis Index



Barriers?

But surgeons are optimists!





"Where all the surgeons are strong, all the anesthesiologists are good looking, and all the patients are above average."

Systematic, multifactorial, risk assessment

- "Foot of the bed" assessments of cardiac risk not reliable due to disagreement between clinicians.
 - Hii TB, et al. *Heart Lung Circ*. 2015;24(6):551-556.
- Multifactorial tools are superior to single-item assessments.
 - Afilalo J, et al. Circulation. 2017;135(21):2025-2027
 - Hurria A, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(25):3457-3465.
 - Fried L, et al. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A, 2004; 59(3):M255–M263
- Vascular Surgeons effectively estimate mortality, but underestimate complications and long-term disability compared to multifactorial tool.
 - George EL, et al. *J Surg Res*. 2020;248:38-44.
- Modified Geriatric Assessment (mGA) effectively identifies frailty among patients that oncologists considered non frail (e.g. 个 sensitivity).
 - Kirkhus, et al. Br J Cancer 117, 470–477 (2017)

Frailty is the Best Predictor of Postoperative Outcomes....



- Mortality
- Complications
- Failure to Rescue
- Length of Stay
- Readmission
- Loss of Independence

Makary MA, et al., J Am Coll Surg. 2010;210(6):901-908

Why Frailty?

A clinical syndrome of decreased physiological reserve

- process whereby small deficits accumulate in multiple adaptive systems, any one of which might be clinically insignificant, but together they produce significant vulnerability to stress that can lead to catastrophic decompensation.
- multiple causes and contributors
- characterized by diminished strength, endurance, nutrition, and cognitive capacity
- More than just age or the sum of comorbidities (not captured by standard risk stratification tools like ASA or Eagle criteria).



Robert, C. M., & Sean, M. B. (2014). *Physiological Reserve and Frailty in Critical Illness*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Omaha Frailty Screening Initiative (FSI)

- 180-day mortality among frail fell from **23.9%** to **7.7%** (p<0.001)
- 3-fold survival advantage after FSI implementation (OR 2.87 [95%CI 1.98-4.16]), controlling for:
 - Age
 - Frailty
 - Predicted mortality based on VA risk-adjustment

Hall, DE. et al. JAMA Surgery 152(3) doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2016.4202 (Nov 23).

FSI Changed Perioperative Palliative Care

- Changed Pattern of Perioperative Palliative Care Care Consult
 - *Rate increased* from 32 to 56 per year.
 - More often *ordered by a surgeon* (56.7% vs 24.4%; p< 0.05).
 - More often *ordered before surgery* (52.0% vs 26.3%; p< 0.05).
- Controlling for age, frailty and *whether the patient had surgery*, Preoperative Palliative Care Consult reduced risk of death when:
 - ordered by a surgeon (AOR 0.50[95% CI 0.30-0.83], p=0.007).
 - ordered before surgery (AOR 0.52[95% CI 0.30-0.90], p=0.02).
 - ordered by surgeon before surgery (AOR 0.27[95% CI 0.11-068], p=0.006)

Ernst, K. F., et al(2014). JAMA Surg, 149(11), 1121-1126.

Decreased Mortality at VA Pittsburgh



Decreased Mortality at UPMC

- Interrupted Time Sequence Analysis
 - with segmented regression.
- 50,463 patients July 2016-May 2019
 - 22,722 before BPA Implementation
 - 27,741 after BPA Implementation
- Overall 365-day mortality reduction
 - aOR 0.82 [95% CI 0.72-0.92]
 - Age, sex, race, ethnicity, BMI, Frailty, RVU, OSS
- Survival advantage greatest among frail.
 - 4.2% (95% CI 2.4-6.0) reduction in adjusted mortality
 - Cut adjusted mortality from 20.2% to 16.0%
- <u>Replication</u> of original findings from Omaha
 - Even more robust confounding control



Varley PR, et al Routine Preoperative Frailty Assessment is Associated with a Decrease in One-year Postoperative Mortality. *JAMA Surgery. 2023* <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2022.8341</u>

Decreased Mortality & Morbidity at VA Gainesville





Bottom Line: It works

- High quality, longitudinal data with robust confounding control
- Replicated in multiple sites
- Caveat: No randomized trials, but 2 are pending:
 - SAGE QUERI:
 - Routine, frailty triggered preoperative goal clarification
 - VA Pittsburgh, Philadelphia, Wilkes-Barre, Lebanon and Wilmington, DE
 - PAUSE Trial
 - Routine, frailty triggered multidisciplinary review & optimization
 - VA Palo Alto, VA Nashville, VA Houston
- <u>And YET</u>, efficacy is not the only barrier....

OK, but... I can get anyone through a minor procedure: RAI, Operative Stress and Mortality

- Delphi consensus methodology to rate operative physiological stress.
 - 566 surgical procedures that account for 90% all VA surgery
 - Ratings by panel of surgeons and anesthesiologists
 - Consensus reached after 3 rounds of rating.
- 5-point Operative Stress Score:
 - 1-cystoscopy, hydrocele, ganglion cyst
 - 2-inguinal or umbilical hernia, arthroscopy of kn or shoulder
 - 3-cholecystectomy, CEA, arthroplasty of knee, shoulder or hip
 - 4-open colectomy, prostatectomy, pulmonary lobectomy or segmentectomy
 - 5-abdominal aortic aneurysm,
 - pancreaticoduodenectomy, esophagectomy



Shinall, Myrick C. et al. JAMA Surgery 10.1001/jamasurg.2019.4620 (Nov 13).

OK, but...it's only for those (other) surgeons: RAI, Operative Stress, Mortality and <u>Specialty</u>

Figure 2. Veterans Affairs Surgical Quality Improvement Program (VASQIP) 180-Day Mortality Following Surgery in 9 Noncardiac Surgical Specialties Stratified by Frailty Status (Risk Analysis Index) and Operative Stress Score (OSS)



Specialties were categorized by the percentage of low-stress (OSS 1 and 2) procedures performed. Frail and very frail patients experienced high mortality rates following low- and moderate-stress procedures in all specialties. Error bars represent the SEs.

George EL, et al., JAMA Surg. 2020:e205152. 10.1001/jamasurg.2020.5152

NO SUCH THING AS LOW-RISK SURGERY FOR THE FRAIL

Study warns on	surgery risk fo	or frail patients
Mortality	rates 30 days afte	r surgery*
$-\sqrt{n}$	^/	$\Lambda \sim \Lambda \sim$
Non-frail patients	Frail patients	Very frail patients
Non-frail patients Low-risk surgeries:	Frail patients Low-risk surgeries:	Very frail patients Low-risk surgeries:
Non-frail patients Low-risk surgeries: 0.22%	Frail patients Low-risk surgeries: 1.55%	Very frail patients Low-risk surgeries: 10.34%
Non-frail patients Low-risk surgeries: 0.22% Moderate-risk surgeries:	Frail patients Low-risk surgeries: 1.55% Moderate-risk surgeries:	Very frail patients Low-risk surgeries: 10.34% Moderate-risk surgeries:
Non-frail patients Low-risk surgeries: 0.22% Moderate-risk surgeries: 0.91%	Frail patients Low-risk surgeries: 1.55% Moderate-risk surgeries: 5.13%	Very frail patients Low-risk surgeries: 10.34% Moderate-risk surgeries: 18.74%
Non-frail patients Low-risk surgeries: 0.22% Moderate-risk surgeries: 0.91% High-risk surgeries:	Frail patients Low-risk surgeries: 1.55% Moderate-risk surgeries: 5.13% High-risk surgeries:	Very frail patients Low-risk surgeries: 10.34% Moderate-risk surgeries: 18.74% High-risk surgeries:

* A surgery mortality rate of 1% is usually considered high-risk. From "Association of preoperative patient frailty and operative stress with postoperative mortality," JAMA Surgery, Nov. 13, 2019. Infographic by VA Research Communications, November 2019. Photo: © iStock/A-Digit

Practical Implementation

Risk Analysis Index (RAI)

- 14 Variables; weighted scale
- Grouped into 4 categories with increasing frailty severity Robust: 0-29 Average: 30-36 Frail: 37-44 Very Frail: ≥ 45
- Most thoroughly validated measure of *surgical* frailty, and only shown feasible for point-of-care testing¹



¹Arya et al. Ann Surgery 2019; Shah, et al, J Am Geriatrics 2020; Varley, et al, Ann Surgery 2020

RAI Validation in Veterans and Private Sector VASQIP & ACS-NSQIP



Arya, S. et al. Annals of Surgery doi 10.1097/SLA.00000000003276 (2019, March 23).

Frailty Screening

RAI Survey

nstructions: Please a ou complete this su	answer the followi Irvey.	ng questions to the b	est of your ability. Y	our advocate or con	npanion can hel
1. Do you live in pla If Yes, circle whe When did you be	ace other than your ere: Nursing Home egin living in the pla	own home? DNo Skilled Nursing F ace you are currently	□Yes acility Assisted Livi residing? Less than 3 Greater th	ng Other months 3 months	to 1 year
2. Any kidney failure	e, kidney not worki	ng well, or seeing a ki	dney doctor (nephrol	logist)?	No LIYes
3. Any history of chi	ronic (long-term) co	ongestive heart failure	e (CHF)?		No Yes
4 Any shortness of	breath when restin	ug?			No. TYes
Do you have trouble cat	ching your breath when	resting or doing minimal	activities, like walking to t	he bathroom?	
5. In the past five ye Prompt: Please answe	ears, have you beer er "Yes" if the clinic vi	n diagnosed with or tr sit today is to discuss th	eated for cancer? e possibility of cancer s	urgery.	No 🛛 Yes
Prompt: Do you or your 8. During the last 3 remember things	family notice that you a months has it beco or organize your th	me not eating as much? me difficult for you to noughts?)		No □Yes
9. Getting around (mobility)	Can get around without any help	Needs help from a cane, walker or scooter	Needs Help from others to get around the house or neighborhood	Needs help getting in or out of a chair	Totally dependent or others to get around
10. Eating	Can plan and prepare own meals	Needs help planning meals	Needs help preparing meals	Needs help eating meals	Totally dependent or others to eat meals
11. Toileting	Can use toilet without help	Needs help getting to or from toilet	Needs help to use toilet paper	Cannot use a standard toilet, with help can use bedpan/urinal	Totally dependent or others for toileting
12. Personal hygiene (bathing, hand washing, changing clothes	Can shower or bathe without prompt or help	Can shower or bathe without help when prompted	Needs help preparing the tub or shower	Needs some help with some elements of washing	Totally dependent or others to shower or bathe

Online RAI

Online Revised RAI (Demo O... 🕏 Revised RAI

Resize f

Revised RAI

Scoring

The patient's RAI score is 54.

vha.med.va.gov/surveys/index.php?s= 🔎 🗕 🖒 🔒 🕏

🗿 Free Hotmail 🕱 NIHMS — Login Options 🗿 Web Slice Gallery 👻 🗿 Suggested Sites 🔹

Scores ≥37 indicate significant frailty and should be discussed with the surgeon and patient

RAI Implementation at UPMC: Feasible



Varley PR, et al Ann Surg. 2020 10.1097/SLA.0000000000003808

Including me! 5/20/2021

A torn achilles made me less mobile than the day before...

but not frail yet.



Now available in Epic as a Clinical Program



The CPRS RAI Reminder Dialogue Template

National Release 9/13/21

	ty Assessment Version 1 1		
	toy Assessment version 1.1		
RAILTY ASSESSMENT:			
The Risk Analysis Index (RA	AL):		
* Is a validated measure	or patient fraiity		
* Transpool lovels of fra	s global physiological reserve		
* Significant frailty is	indicated by scores greater than or equal to	- 27	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Use the Online PAT to calcu	ulate the RAI; then record the value in the	field below.	
<u>onrine mir</u> of the			
RAI score is:			
(Must be an integer valu	ue between 0 and 81)		
*			
Comment:			
Select this checkbox	if patient indicated history of cancer		
	10.51.7		
	Visit Info	Finish	Lancel
AILTY ASSESSMENT:			
AILTY ASSESSMENT: RAI score is:			
AILTY ASSESSMENT: RAI score is:			



Implementation Map





- Goal: 50 engaged sites across ALL 18 VISNs
 - 42 Sites are active
 - 27 Advanced (≥500 values)
 - 10 Intermediate (≥100 values)
 - 4 Beginner (≥50 values)
 - 33 Exploratory (<50 values).



RAI Survey Discrimination & Calibration

Discrimination

C= 0.815 (95% CI 0.788-0.842)

Calibration

95.6% of predicted deaths within 95% CI of observed deaths



Varley PR, et al Ann Surg. 2020 10.1097/SLA.000000000003808.

"But the RAI is too subjective...." Do "objective" biomarkers help?



Pandalai, et al, ACS Clinical Congress, 2020

Maybe, but is the juice worth the squeeze?

RAI **RAI+Hematocrit** 100 100 100 100 Proportion of Cohort 20 40 60 80 80 Proportion of Cohort 20 40 60 80 80 40 60 Mortality 40 60 Mortality 43.8% 43.2% 43.8% 43.2% 20 20 2.9% 10.1% 2.9% 10.1% 0 0 0 0 60 20 40 80 20 60 80 40 0 $RAI_{Hematocrit}$ Score **RAI Score** Robust Normal Frail Very Frail **Predicted Mortality Observed Mortality** Pandalai, et al, ACS Clinical Congress, 2020 95% CI

The Surgical Pause: Measuring Frailty & Doing Something About It *Step 1:* Assess Frailty

Threshold for Action: Revised RAI \geq 37

- Riskiest 10% of population;
- At least twice the average 6-month mortality
 - 12% vs 6%
- Twice the rate of 30- and 90-day readmission
 - 22% vs 12%
- Twice the rate of long term ICU stay \geq 5 days
 - 6% vs. 3%
- Modest positive predictive value: 19%
- Strong negative predictive value: 96%
 - <u>Safe to operate</u> on patients with Revised RAI<37 (e.g. most patients)




Baseline to Day of Surgery

Significant Changes in Physical Performance

Measure	Baseline Mean (SD)	Day of Surgery Mean (SD)	Mean Difference (Standard Error)	P value	Minimum Clinically Important Difference
Extended TUG (seconds)	N=42 21.9 (12.5)	N=33 17.8 (4.6)	-2.3 (0.5)	<0.001	2.4s
Gait Speed (meters/second)	N=42 1.11 (0.32)	N=33 1.24 (0.30)	+0.1 (0.03)	0.002	0.1m/s
5 Chair Rise (seconds)	N=38 13.3 (5.7)	N=33 11.8 (4.6)	-1.6 (0.6)	0.007	2.3s
Six Minute Walk Test (meters)	N=40 348.6 (109.1)	N=30 380.6 (102.2)	+29.3 (15.6)	0.060	30m
SPPB Score	N=41 10.2 (1.9)	N=33 10.8 (1.1)	+0.6 (0.3)	0.068	1 unit

RAI & Cost: Direct and Net Hospital Costs

Univariate Analysis:

↑length of stay (0.8 v. 2.1 days)
↑ total cost (\$6,934 v. \$13,319)
↓ net hospital income (\$5,447 v. \$3,129)

Multivariate analysis:

- ↑ direct cost (OR 2.2)
- ↑ indirect cost (OR 1.9)
- \uparrow total cost (OR 2.2)
- \downarrow net income (OR 0.8)

(all p<0.001)

Wilkes JG, et al. J Am Coll Surg. 2019;228(6):861-870.



Total cost odds ratio (with 95% CI, as demonstrated by box plot) on logarithmic scale. Calculated as odds of significantly frail patients costing greater than the median cost for inpatient elective operations stratified by service and Risk Analysis Index with unfrail patients (not depicted) as the reference value (*p < 0.05).

UPMC Benefit Amounts normalized to 'Normal 30-36' total charges							
		Robust	Normal 30	Frail 37 to	Very frail		
	Category	≤29	to 36	44	≥45		
	Inpatient Surgical DRG	0.34	0.34	0.35	0.34		
ب	ER to Inpatient Surgical DRG	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.08		
en	Inpatient Medical DRG, General, Specialist and Observation	0.06	0.11	0.14	0.19		
ati	Inpatient Rehabilitation	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01		
du	Inpatient Behavioral Health	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
—	Ambulance from Facility to Facility	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	Subtotal Inpatient Charges	0.43	0.51	0.57	0.62		
	Outpatient Surgery	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.06		
ų	Outpatient Hospital and Specialized Facility	0.09	0.28	0.21	0.24		
еU	Outpatient Office, PCP and Other	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03		
ati	Therapy Service (Is this like Outpatient Rehab/PT?)	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00		
tp	Outpatient Behavioral Health	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
nc	ER Discharged to Home	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01		
Ŭ	Observation, from ER or Office	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01		
	Subtotal Outpatient Charges	0.17	0.39	0.32	0.36		
r r	Nursing, Skilled and General	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.07		
soc	Home Care	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.07		
μĄ	Subtotal Post Acute Charges	0.04	0.08	0.11	0.14		
ы	Other (e.g., Lab, OB/GYN, Maternity, Urgent Care)	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00		
th	Shock Claims	0.04	0.01	0.05	0.04		
0	Subtotal Other Charges	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.04		
	Total Charge	0.69	1.00	1.05	1.17		

-

Complimentary Initiatives

SAGE QUERI

<u>Safer Ageing through</u> <u>Geriatric-informed</u> <u>Evidence-based practices</u>

Pittsburgh, PAPhiladelphia, PA

•Lebanon, PA

•Wilkes-Barre, PA

•Wilmington, DE

PAUSE Trial

HSR&D IIR RCT

Frailty Screening followed by Multidisciplinary Clinic

• Palo Alto, CA

• Houston, TX

• Nashville, TN

HSR&D IIR

Improving Surgical Decision-Making by Measuring and Predicting Long-Term Loss of Independence after Surgery

GECDAC Partner Residential History File





Implementation Nuts and Bolts

Two Step Process

- Step 1: Measure Frailty
 - Don 't Triage the Triage Tool (Measure on Everyone)
 - <u>Must</u> measure frailty before booking surgery date

Two Step Process

- Step 2: Do Something About It
 - Surgeon champion review
 - Interdisciplinary Review Panel
 - Surgery, Anesthesia, Palliative Care, Geriatrics, IMPACT Clinic
 - Real time or Time Asynchronous
 - Goal Clarification & Shared Decision Making
 - "Not a candidate" is NOT shared decision making
 - Avoid mental model of "fixing it"
 - I'm worried that no matter what we do life will never be the same for you
 - Best, Worst, and Most Likely Scenarios of at least 2 options
 - Who has this conversation?
 - Palliative care has skill but not necessarily the knowledge
 - Surgeons have the knowledge, but not necessarily the skill
 - Training options available

Lessons Learned

- It's not a math problem
 - Maximizing c-statistics is a distraction
 - No algorithm can determine what we should/should not do
 - RAI signals need to shift from fast to slow thinking
- It's about insight not technique
 - Shared decision making is *really* challenging, but it is the next frontier
 - Focusing on all-cause mortality creates opportunity
- The RAI works because it is simple, fast, and guides intervention
 - Phenotypical frailty may be more "pure" but not feasible for wide screening
 - Don't try to triage the triage tool
- Light, flexible touch—not too much structure
 - With a gentle nudge, surgeons step up
 - So adapt to your site's requirements
 - 1-2 hours/week of surgical champion

Many thanks to growing Research network.

- Health Systems with RAI Te
 - Atlanta-Emory/VA
 - Nashville-Vanderbilt
 - Phoenix-VA
 - Pittsburgh-UPMC/VA
 - Palo Alto-Stanford/VA
 - Omaha-UNMC/VA
 - Richmond-VA
 - Houston-Baylor/VA
 - Salt Lake-Utah/VA
 - San Antonio-UTH/VA
 - Indiana-University
 - University of New Mexico
- RAI Workgroup
 - Jason, Dan, Shipra
 - Ricky Shinall
 - Nader Massarweh
 - Rupen Shah
- VQI workgroup
 - Philip Goodney
 - Matthew Mell
 - Benjamin Brooke
 - Larry Kraiss

- Team Hall/UPMC/VAPHS
 - Ada Youk
 - Andrew Bilderback
 - Jacob Hodges
 - Jeff Borrebach
 - Mary K Wisniewski
 - Tami Minnier
 - Steve Shapiro
 - Mark Wilson
 - Joel Nelson
 - Bob Arnold
 - Johanna Bellon
 - Dan Forman
 - Kelly Allsup
 - Jonas Johnson
 - Stephen Esper
 - Jenn Holder-Murray

- Team Arya/Stanford/ VA Palo Alto/ VA Atlanta/ Emory
 - Sebastian Perez
 - Amber Trickey
 - Rui Chen
 - Kelly Blum
 - Elizabeth George
 - Kara Rothenberg
 - Jordan Stern
 - Arden Morris
 - Mary Hawn
 - Ronald Dalman
 - Paula Tucker
 - Luke Brewster
 - Theodore Johnson
 - Jason Hockenberry

- Team Johanning/ UNMC/NWICHS/ VISN 23
 - Tom Lynch
 - Kendra Schmid
 - Kaeli Samson
 - Georgia Lyles
 - Krishna Chaitanya
 - Karen Taylor
 - Tom Edes
 - Richard Allman
 - Scott Shreve
 - Jahnigen Scholars
 - Health and Aging Policy Fellowship

Questions?

hallde@upmc.edu

SURGICAL PAUSE

That's our story, and then there is peer review:

George EL, Hall DE, Youk A, Chen R, Kashikar A, Trickey AW, Varley PR, Shireman PK, Shinall MC, Massarweh NN, Johanning JM, Arya S. Patient frailty and postoperative mortality after noncardiac surgery—does specialty matter? JAMA Surgery (in press).

- Agarwal N, Goldschmidt E, Taylor T, Souvik R, Altieri-Dunn S, Bilderback AL, Friedlander RM, Kanter AS, Okonkwo DO, Gerszten PC, Hamilton DK, Hall DE. Impact of Frailty on Outcomes following Spine Surgery: A Prospective Cohort Analysis of 668 Patients. *Neurosurgery* (in press).
- Shinall, M. C., Jr, Youk, A., Massarweh, N. N., Shireman, P. K., Arya, S., George, E. L., & Hall, DE. (2020). Association of Preoperative Frailty and Operative Stress With Mortality After Elective vs Emergency Surgery. JAMA Network Open, 3(7), e2010358-e2010358. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.10358
- Shah R, Borrebach JD, Hodges JC, Varley PR, Wisniewski MK, Shinall MC, Jr., Arya S, Johnson J, Nelson JB, Youk A, Massarweh NN, Johanning JM, Hall DE. Validation of the Risk Analysis Index for Evaluating Frailty in Ambulatory Patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2020;68(8):1818-1824.
- Varley PR, Borrebach JD, Arya S, Massarweh NN, Bilderback AL, Wisniewski MK, Nelson JB, Johnson JT, Johanning JM, Hall DE. Clinical Utility of the Risk Analysis Index as a Prospective Frailty Screening Tool within a Multi-practice, Multi-hospital Integrated Healthcare System. Ann Surg. 10.1097/SLA.00000000003808. 2020. PMID: 32118596.
- Rothenberg KA, George EL, Trickey AW, Barreto NB, Johnson TM, 2nd, Hall DE, Johanning JM, Arya S. Assessment of the Risk Analysis Index for Prediction of Mortality, Major Complications, and Length of Stay in Patients who Underwent Vascular Surgery. Ann Vasc Surg. 10.1016/j.avsg.2020.01.015. 2020. PMID: 31935435.
- Li Z, Habbous S, Thain J, Hall DE, Nagpal D, Bagur R, Kiaii B, John-Baptiste A. Cost-effectiveness analysis of frailty assessment in older patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) surgery. Canadian Journal of Cardiology.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2019.09.025. 2020;36(4):490-499. PMID: 32220386.

- Shinall MC, Jr, Arya Š, Youk A, Varley P, Shah R, Massarweh NN, Shireman PK, Johanning JM, Brown AJ, Christie NA, Crist L, Curtin CM, Drolet BC, Dhupar R, Griffin J, Ibinson JW, Johnson JT, Kinney S, LaGrange C, Langerman A, Loyd GE, Mady LJ, Mott MP, Patri M, Siebler JC, Stimson CJ, Thorell WE, Vincent SA, Hall DE. Association of Preoperative Patient Frailty and Operative Stress With Postoperative Mortality. JAMA Surgery. 2019: e194620e194620. 10.1001/jamasurg.2019.4620. PMID: 31721994 PMCID: PMC6865246
- Rothenberg KA, Stern JR, George EL, Trickey AW, Morris AM, Hall DE, Johanning JM, Hawn MT, Arya S (2019). Association of Frailty and Postoperative Complications on Unplanned Readmissions Following Elective Outpatient Surgery. JAMA Network Open, 2(5) doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.4330. PMID: 31125103. PMCID: PMC6632151
- Arya, S., Varley, P., Youk, A., Borrebach, J. A., Perez, S., Massarweh, N. N., . . . Hall, DE. (2019). Recalibration and External Validation of the Risk Analysis Index: A Surgical Frailty Assessment Tool. Ann Surg. doi:10.1097/SLA.00000000003276. PMID: 30907757.
- Shah, R., Attwood, K., Arya, S., Hall, DE, Johanning, J. M., Gabriel, E., . . . Massarweh, NN. (2018). Association of Frailty With Failure to Rescue After Low-Risk and High-Risk Inpatient Surgery. JAMA Surg, 153(5), e180214. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2018.0214. PMID: 29562073

- Hall, DE., Arya, S., Schmid, K. K., Carlson, M. A., Lavedan, P., Bailey, T. L., Purviance, G., Bockman, T., Lynch, T.G., Johanning, J. M. Association of a Frailty Screening Initiative with post-operative survival at 30, 180 and 365 days. JAMA Surgery. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2016.4219 Epub Nov 30, 2016. PMID: 27902826. PMCID: PMC7180387.
- Hall, DE, Arya, S., Schmid, K. K., Blaser, C., Carlson, M. A., Bailey, T. L. Purviance, G., Bockman, T., Lynch, T.G., Johanning, J. M. Development and initial validation of the Risk Analysis Index (RAI) for measuring frailty in surgical populations. JAMA Surg 2017 152(2):175-182 doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2016.4202 Epub Nov 23, 2016. PMID: 27893030. PMCID: PMC7140150.
- Ernst K, Hall DÉ, Lynch TJ, Schmid, KK, Seevers, G, Lavedan, P, Lynch, T, Johanning J. Surgical palliative care consultations over time in relation to system wide frailty-screening. JAMA Surgery. 2014: 149(11):1121-1126 DOI: 10.1001/jamasurg.2014.1393. PMID: 25207603. PMCID: PMC4603652.
- Codman, Hawthorne, and End Results of a Watched System.Johanning JM, Arya S.JAMA Surg. 2016 Dec 1;151(12):1165. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2016.2901.PMID: 27653007
- Preoperative Frailty Increases Risk of Nonhome Discharge after Elective Vascular Surgery in Home-Dwelling Patients.Arya S, Long CA, Brahmbhatt R, Shafii S, Brewster LP, Veeraswamy R, Johnson TM 2nd, Johanning JM.Ann Vasc Surg. 2016 Aug;35:19-29. doi: 10.1016/j.avsg.2016.01.052. Epub 2016 Jun 2.PMID: 27263810
- Frailty and Mortality After Noncardiac Surgery in Elderly Individuals: Metrics, Systems, and the Elephant.Johanning JM, Hall D, Arya S.JAMA Surg. 2016 Jun 1;151(6):545-6. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2015.5235.PMID: 26790639
- A frailty index identifies patients at high risk of mortality after tracheostomy. Johnson MS, Bailey TL, Schmid KK, Lydiatt WM, Johanning JM.Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014
- Apr;150(4):568-73. doi: 10.1177/0194599813519749. Epub 2014 Jan 16.PMID: 24436464 Preoperative frailty predicts postoperative complications and mortality in urology
- patients.Isharwal S, Johanning JM, Dwyer JG, Schimid KK, LaGrange CA.World J Urol. 2017 Jan;35(1):21-26. doi: 10.1007/s00345-016-1845-z. Epub 2016 May 12.PMID: 27172940
- Preoperative frailty Risk Analysis Index to stratify patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy.Melin AA, Schmid KK, Lynch TG, Pipinos II, Kappes S, Longo GM, Gupta PK, Johanning JM.J Vasc Surg. 2015 Mar;61(3):683-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2014.10.009. Epub 2014 Dec 9.PMID: 25499711
- Risk Prediction Tools to Improve Patient Selection for Carotid Endarterectomy Among Patients With Asymptomatic Carotid Stenosis.Keyhani S, Madden E, Cheng EM, Bravata DM, Halm E, Austin PC, Ghasemiesfe M, Abraham AS, Zhang AJ, Johanning JM.JAMA Surg. 2019 Apr 1;154(4):336-344. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2018.5119.
- Summary of the panel session at the 38th Annual Surgical Symposium of the Association of VA Surgeons: what is the big deal about frailty?Anaya DA, Johanning J, Spector SA, Katlic MR, Perrino AC, Feinleib J, Rosenthal RA.JAMA Surg. 2014 Nov;149(11):1191-7. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2014.2064.PMID: 25230137
- Assessing preoperative frailty utilizing validated geriatric mortality calculators and their association with postoperative hip fracture mortality risk.Dwyer JG, Reynoso JF, Seevers GA, Schmid KK, Muralidhar P, Konigsberg B, Lynch TG, Johanning JM.Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil. 2014 Sep;5(3):109-15. doi: 10.1177/2151458514537272.PMID: 25360340



Surgical Pause Symposium



Initiation of a multidisciplinary surgical prehabilitation program for frail patients leads to improved surgical outcomes by identifying patients fit to proceed with surgery

Schmit, BM; Tyson, A; Galvao, J; Donahoo, J; Engels, L; Tillman, J; Shorr, R; Solberg, L; Soberon, J; Hegland, D; Zaragoza, A; Goldstein, L.; Berry, S.

UF College of Medicine Department of Surgery UNIVERSITY of FLORIDA



U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

Veterans Health Administration VA Sunshine Healthcare Network (VISN 8)





- Frailty is associated with poor surgical outcomes.
- The risk analysis index (RAI) is a well validated tool for measuring frailty in the veteran population.
- It is less clear how much of an effect prehabilitation of frail patients has on outcomes.
- We aimed to develop a VISN wide surgical prehabilitation program hoping to optimize outcomes for frail surgical patients.





VISN 8 Surgical Prehabilitation Program





Surgical Pause Symposium

Detailed evaluation by dedicated frailty provider

Shared Decision Making \rightarrow Palliative Care

Multidisciplinary Review / Discussion

Prehabilitation \rightarrow PT, home exercise, respiratory training



Proceed with Surgery



Alternative Treatment Conservative measures



One Visit Multiple Providers-Multidisciplinary approach







Frailty Provider Clinic Visit

Amy Tyson Frailty/Prehabilitation Program Coordinator Certified Adult-Gerontology Nurse Practitioner



Frailty Provider Clinic Visit

- Patient contacted by provider for scheduling and discussion
 - Optimization clinic not a Clearance service
- Family and caregivers encouraged to attend this appointment
- Initial consult followed by return visit in 4-8 weeks for follow up of interdisciplinary recommendations and physical therapy outcome measurements
- Clinic documentation aligns with American College of Surgeons Geriatric Surgery Verification Program requirements





Assessment of ADLs and IADLs

ACTIVITIES OF DAILY LIVING

The c	questions are about how you usually take care of yourself.	By usually, I	[mean half th	e time or n	nore during	
	Who answered?	Without help	With some Help	Unable	Not applicable	
1.	During the past week, did you usually get in and out of bed or chair	0	0	0	0	
2.	During the past week, did you usually dress and undress yourself	0	0	0	0	
3.	During the past week, did you take a sponge bathtub bath or shower	0	0	0	0	
4.	During the past week did you feed yourself	0	0	0	0	
5.	During the past week, did you usually use the toilet for both bowel and bladder functions	0	0	0	0	
1						

INSTRUMENTAL ACTIVITIES OF DAILY LIVING

Now t	I would like to ask some questions about your daily living he hospital (office)	activities du	tring the week	just prior t	o coming to
	Who answered?	Without help	With some help	Unable	Not applicable
1.	Did you use the telephone	0	0	0	0
2.	Did you get to places out of walking distances	0	0	0	0
3.	Did you prepare your own meals	0	0	0	0
4.	Did you go shopping for groceries	0	0	0	0
5.	Did you do your own housework	0	0	0	0
6.	Did you do your handyman work	0	0	0	0
7.	Did you do your own laundry	0	0	0	0
8.	Did you take your medicines	0	0	0	0
9.	Did you manage your own money	0	0	0	0







Instructions for Administration & Scoring

Step 1: Three Word Registration

Look directly at person and say, "Please listen carefully. I am going to say three words that I want you to repeat back to me now and try to remember. The words are [select a list of words from the versions below]. Please say them for me now." If the person is unable to repeat the words after three attempts, move on to Step 2 (clock drawing).

The following and other word lists have been used in one or more clinical studies.¹⁻³ For repeated administrations, use of an alternative word list is recommended.

Version 1	Version 2	Version 3	Version 4	Version 5	Version 6
Banana	Leader	Village	River	Captain	Daughter
Sunrise	Season	Kitchen	Nation	Garden	Heaven
Chair	Table	Baby	Finger	Picture	Mountain

Step 2: Clock Drawing

Say: "Next, I want you to draw a clock for me. First, put in all of the numbers where they go." When that is completed, say: "Now, set the hands to 10 past 11."

Use preprinted circle (see next page) for this exercise. Repeat instructions as needed as this is not a memory test. Move to Step 3 if the clock is not complete within three minutes.

Step 3: Three Word Recall

Ask the person to recall the three words you stated in Step 1. Say: "What were the three words I asked you to remember?" Record the word list version number and the person's answers below.

Word List Version: _____ Person's Answers: _____

Scoring

Word Recall:(0-3 points)	1 point for each word spontaneously recalled without cueing.
Clock Draw: (0 or 2 points)	Normal clock = 2 points. A normal clock has all numbers placed in the cor- rect sequence and approximately correct position (e.g., 12, 3, 6 and 9 are in anchor positions) with no missing or duplicate numbers. Hands are point- ing to the 11 and 2 (11:10). Hand length is not scored. Inability or refusal to draw a clock (abnormal) = 0 points.
Total Score: (0-5 points)	Total score = Word Recall score + Clock Draw score. A cut point of <3 on the Mini-Cog [™] has been validated for dementia screening, but many individuals with clinically meaningful cognitive impairment will score higher. When greater sensitivity is desired, a cut point of <4 is recom- mended as it may indicate a need for further evaluation of cognitive status.







Marcantonio Delirium Risk Assessment

If yes = 1 point
1 point
1 point
1 point
1 point
2 points
1 point
≥ 3 points suggests high risk for delirium

- History of postoperative delirium?
- Previous issues with anesthesia?
 - Family history?







Evaluation of major comorbidities and functional status



- Activity tolerance
- Medication compliance
- High risk medication use
- Patient's understanding of their major comorbidities







Facility Chart Audits

Surgical Risk Analysis Index (RAI) Report



VA

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

VISN, Facility, Sta6a	Location Name	RAI > 36 RAI	w/out > 36	
8 (VISN) + (573) N. Florida/S. Georgi 🗸	All	□ Y	Y	Back to Terms & Conditions
Primary Stop Code	CHAR4	NSO Complexity	Surgical Stop	5/5/2023 📾 5/15/2023 📾 Visit Date
All	All	All	~	

VISN	Facility	Division	Patient	Visit Date	Primary Stop Code	RAI Score	RAI Score Without Cancer	Location N	Visits w/ RAI Score
8	(573) N. Florida/S. Georgia HCS (Gainesville FL)	573		5/10/2023	401-GENERAL SURGERY	13		GNV GS C(Uniques w/ RAI Score 246
8	(573) N. Florida/S. Georgia HCS (Gainesville FL)	573		5/10/2023	403-OTOLARYNGOLOGY/ENT	38	27	GNV ENT (Visits w/ RAI >36
8	(573) N. Florida/S. Georgia HCS (Gainesville FL)	573		5/8/2023	403-OTOLARYNGOLOGY/ENT	23		GNV ENT (57
8	(573) N. Florida/S. Georgia HCS (Gainesville FL)	573		5/9/2023	414-UROLOGY CLINIC	21		GNV UROL	56
8	(573) N. Florida/S. Georgia HCS (Gainesville FL)	573		5/10/2023	401-GENERAL SURGERY	21		GNV GS CC	Visits w/ RAI w/o C >36
8	(573) N. Florida/S. Georgia HCS (Gainesville FL)	573		5/10/2023	403-OTOLARYNGOLOGY/ENT	29		GNV ENT (2
8	(573) N. Florida/S. Georgia HCS (Gainesville FL)	573		5/15/2023	403-OTOLARYNGOLOGY/ENT	27		GNV ENT (Uniques w/ RAI w/o C >36 2
8	(573) N. Florida/S. Georgia HCS (Gainesville FL)	573		5/5/2023	409-ORTHO/JOINT SURG	38		GNV F2F C	Urban Rural H. Rural
8	(573) N. Florida/S. Georgia HCS (Gainesville EL)	573		5/8/2023	403-OTOLARYNGOLOGY/ENT	26		GNV ENT F	134 111 1





Veteran follow up









Save

4/13/2023

Date

R/T Cancer

 \checkmark

Veteran follow up

NF/SG VHS Surgical Pause Frailty Score



NF/SG VHS Surgical Pause Graduates Status 20 18 16 14 12 10 8 6 4 2 0 FY 22 Q4 FY 22 Q3 FY 23 Q1 FY 23 Q2 FY 23 Q3





Physical Therapy Clinic Visit

Jackellyne Galvao Frailty/Prehabilitation Physical Therapist Board-Certified Clinical Specialist in Geriatric Physical Therapy (GCS) APTA Certified Exercise Expert for Aging Adults (CEEAA) Certified Fall Prevention Specialist (CFPS)



Create individualized Prehabilitation Plan of Care

- Outcome measurements
- Identify the need for equipment
- > Assessment regarding family assistance after surgery
- Follow-up with face to face or virtual visits during the perioperative period
- Re-assessment between 2-4 weeks before surgery
- Discharge ~1-2 weeks before surgery







OUTCOME MESUREMENTS:

> 30 second sit to stand test

≻Timed up and go

≻2 minute step test

≻Gait speed





30-Second Chair Stand



Purpose: To test leg strength and endurance **Equipment:** A chair with a straight back without arm rests (seat 17" high), and a stopwatch.

1 Instruct the patient:

1. Sit in the middle of the chair.

2. Place your hands on the opposite shoulder crossed, at the wrists.

3. Keep your feet flat on the floor.

4. Keep your back straight, and keep your arms against your chest.

- 5. On "Go," rise to a full standing position, then sit back down again.
- 6. Repeat this for 30 seconds.

On the word "Go," begin timing.

If the patient must use his/her arms to stand, stop the test. Record "0" for the number and score.

③ Count the number of times the patient comes to a full standing position in 30 seconds.

If the patient is over halfway to a standing position when 30 seconds have elapsed, count it as a stand.

③ Record the number of times the patient stands in 30 seconds.

Chair Stand Below Average Scores

AGE	MEN	WOMEN
60-64	< 14	< 12
65-69	< 12	< 11
70-74	< 12	< 10
75-79	< 11	< 10
80-84	< 10	< 9
85-89	< 8	< 8
90-94	< 7	< 4

LEG STRENGTH

- 30 second sit to stand test score less than 8 is associated with lower levels of functional ability.
- Functional assessment of lower extremity strength (quadriceps)
- Assess ability to maintain balance in standing











2 Minute Step Test



ENDURANCE

- 2 minute step test —Anything less than 65 steps associated with lower levels of functional mobility
- Midpoint between iliac crest and patella
- Score is number of times right knee reaches required height

















Gait Speed






Physical Therapy Evaluation

Gait Speed

Function	METS	Walking speed	
		mph	m/sec
self care	<2	1.5	.67
household activities	2.5	2.0	.89
carry groceries, light yard work	3.0	2.5	1.11
climb several flights of stairs	3.5	3.0	1.33





Objective Outcome Measures



Timed Up to Go (TUG) Scores

Average duration of prehabilitation:

Cancer related surgery — 7 weeks Non-Cancer related surgery — 11 weeks





Objective Outcome Measures



Average duration of prehabilitation:

Cancer related surgery —7 weeksNon-Cancer related surgery —11 weeks





Multidisciplinary review—weekly meeting

- Following face to face evaluations—weekly multidisciplinary meeting to discuss groups recommendations and plan for optimization including time frame for prehabilitation.
 - Physical therapy / PM&R
 - Palliative care
 - Nutrition
 - Speech pathology
 - Anesthesia
 - Geriatrics
 - Pharmacy
 - Cognitive neurologist
 - Social work
 - Frailty coordinator
 - Surgeon Champion





NFSG Experience/Timeline

- Started calculating RAIs on surgical patients in early 2021.
- Since July of 2021 over 16,773 patients have been screened for frailty utilizing the RAI at our institution.
- Of these patients, 3,969 (24%) have had an RAI of 37 or greater, placing them in the highest risk for frailty related complications.







Patients Screened per month







Percentage of patients screened as "frail"







NFSG Prehabilitation Program







NFSG Prehabilitation Program

Patient Deaths 64 (16%)



Post-Operative DeathsNon-Operative Deaths

30d post-op mortality—4 deaths for a rate of 2.2%

90d post-op mortality—2 deaths for a rate of 3.3%

Post-Operative deaths Average from consult to death — 262 days Average from surgery to death — 210 days

Non-Operative deaths Average from consult to death — 189 days





Gainesville Rolling 12-Month 30-Day ALL SERVICES Mortality O/E 90% CI Low Value in Bar Graph







Gainesville Rolling 12-Month 30-Day ALL SERVICES Morbidity O/E 95% CI Low Value in Bar Graph













Lessons learned

- Initial implementation in a stepwise fashion
- Identify champions to facilitate implementation compliance and growth of the interdisciplinary team
- Importance of outreach especially in the beginning stages
- Importance of having full-time dedicated staff
 - frailty coordinator and physical therapy





In conclusion

- Identification of frail surgical patients preoperatively allows for referral to a multidisciplinary high risk prehabilitation team.
- A majority of patients who complete the prehabilitation process go on to have successful surgery with mortality rates in line with accepted norms.
- The process selects out the highest risk candidates, avoiding surgery and presumably decreasing post-operative mortality rates, as evidenced by the high mortality rate in our non-operative group.





Future directions

- Beginning to standardize and replicate the success of the program at NFSG throughout the VISN with dedicated frailty coordinators on board at all facilities.
- Continued measurement of physical parameters (TUG, 30s sit to stand, gait speed and 2 min step test) to see how improvement corelates with outcomes.
- Obtain American College of Surgeons Geriatric Surgery Verification and expand to all patients aged 65 and greater.





NFSG VA Frailty/Prehabilitation Team

Surgeon champion—Bradley Schmit, MD Frailty coordinator—Amy Tyson, ARNP **Physical therapy / PM&R**— Jackellyne Galvao, DPT; Mark Ward, DPT; Morgan Harrington, PTA Palliative care—Joni Donahoo, ARNP; Shayna Rich, MD **Nutrition**—Lisa Engles, MS, RD Speech pathology — Paige Crombie, MA, CCC-SLP SURGICAL PAUSE **Cognitive Neurology**—Benjamin Chapin, MD **Anesthesia**—Jose Soberon, MD and Dustin Hegland, MD Age-Friendly **Geriatrics**—Ronald Shorr, MD; Laurence Solberg, MD Health Systems **Pharmacy**—Jacob Tillman, PharmD, BCPS Committed to Social work—Audra Zaragoza, LCSW Care Excellence Surgical quality nurse — Shirley Berry, RN, MSN





QUESTIONS??







Brad Schmit, MD Bradley.Schmit@va.gov Jackellyne Galvao, PT Jackellyne.Galvao@va.gov Amy Tyson, ARNP Amy.Tyson@va.gov

North Florida/South Georgia Veterans Health System 1601 SW Archer Rd, Gainesville, FL 32608





Surgical Pause Symposium



Tampa VA Frailty Initiative

John D. Marquardt, M.D. Chief, Orthopedic Surgery James A Haley VA Hospital Tampa, FL



Surgical Pause and Orthopedic Surgery: An Oxymoron?

<u>https://youtu.be/q0S5EN7-Rtl</u>





Orthopedic Total Joint Surgeon Stereotype

- Obsessed with speed
- Operates 2-3 days a week
- Runs 2-3 rooms at a time
- Does 10-15 total joints a week





How did an Orthopedic Surgeon become a Physician Champion for a Frailty Initiative?



Orthopedic Career

- 1976-81: Residency
- 1981-90: Private practice
- 1990-2001: Academic practice
- 2001-14: Private practice with academic appointment
- 2015-Present: Tampa VA





Non-Veteran Patient Profile

- Healthy patients
- Annual health maintenance
- Pre-op medical optimization done automatically





Non-Veteran Patient Profile

- Hypertension: 60-70%
- CHF/Cardiomyopathy: 0.2-4%
- Chronic kidney disease: 0.2-4%
- Diabetes mellitus: 11-20%
- Tobacco: 9-22%
- Obesity: 14-18%
- Hepatitis C: Rare
- HIV/AIDS: Rare
- PTSD/Mental health disorders: Rare





VA Culture Shock

- Sicker patients
- Annual health maintenance not a given
- Pre-op medical optimization harder to get
- More of a PCP than an Ortho Surgeon





Comorbidities in VA Total Hips and Total Knees 2016-18

- Need to validate gut feelings
- Share findings with surgical and medical colleagues
- Goal of initiating a risk modification program
- 160 total knees in 112 veteran
- 79 total hips in 64 veterans





Hypertension

- Non-VA total joint population: 60-70%
- Tampa VA total knees: 92%
- Tampa VA total hips: 79.1%





CHF/Cardiomyopathy

- Non-VA total joint population: 0.2-4%
- Tampa VA total knees: 8%
- Tampa VA total hips: 7.5%





Chronic Kidney Disease

- Non-VA total joint population: 0.2-4%
- Tampa VA total knees: 11.6%
- Tampa VA total hips: 6.7%





Diabetes Mellitus

- Non-VA total joint population: 11-20%
- Tampa VA total knees
 - Oral meds: 27.7%
 - Insulin: 9.8%
- Tampa VA total hips
 - Oral meds: 16.4%
 - Insulin: 11.9%





Tobacco

- Non-VA total joint population: 9-22%
- Tampa VA total knees
 - Current: 17.9%
 - Former: 33%
- Tampa VA total hips
 - Current: 20.9%
 - Former: 32.8%





Obesity

- Non-VA total joint population: 14-18%
- Tampa VA total knees: 71.3%
 - Mild: 41.3%
 - Moderate: 26.3%
 - Morbid: 4.4%
- Tampa VA total hips: 57%
 - Mild: 31.6%
 - Moderate: 15.2%
 - Morbid: 10.1%





Other Co-Morbidities

- Hepatitis C
 - Tampa VA total knees: 7.1%
 - Tampa VA total hips: 10.4%
- HIV/AIDS
 - Tampa VA total knees: 3.6%
 - Tampa VA total hips: 1.5%
- Pre-op narcotic use
 - Tampa VA total knees: 20.6%
 - Tampa VA total hips: 34.2%
- PTSD/Mental health disorders
 - Tampa VA total knees: 51.6%
 - Tampa VA total hips: 43.3%





Data Dead End

- Presented to monthly Surgical Section Chiefs meeting
- Presented to monthly Surgical Work Group meeting
- Presented to monthly PCP meeting
- No provider or clinic to manage pre-op medical optimization until...




Risk Analysis Index: RAI tool

- Validated predictor of frailty and physiologic reserve
- Questionnaire easily completed within 2 minutes
- Validation prompted VISN-8 wide Frailty Initiative
- Designation of a Physician Champion at each VISN-8 facility
- Hiring an Advanced Practice Provider to be the Frailty Coordinator
- Creation of multi-disciplinary committee to review all elective surgical patients identified as frail





Physician Champion

- Selected not sentenced
- Totally committed to mitigation of risk
- Mandated initiative with value
- Bridge builder with multi-disciplinary connections





Fragility Coordinator

- Nurse Practitioner or Doctor of Nursing Practice
- Geriatric experience
- Team building and networking skills
- Supervisory experience
- Program development experience





Multidisciplinary Committee

- Chaired by the Frailty Coordinator
- Physician Champion
- Anesthesia
- Geriatrics
- Physical Therapy
- Social Work
- Pharmacy
- Palliative Care
- Advanced Practice Provider or Surgeon from the patient's team







Frailty Initiative Roll Out at Tampa VA

- Fragility Coordinator hire: April 2022
- RAI tool implementation: May 2022
- Multidisciplinary Committee formed: June 2022
- Surgical Frailty Clinic opened: August 2022
- Successful roll thanks to networking with other VA centers
 - Gainesville VA
 - Miami VA
 - Orlando VA





Statistics thru May 12, 2023

- RAI tool administered: 4972 veterans
- RAI score > 37: 796 veterans (16.1%)
- Surgical Frailty Clinic consultations: 140
 - Face-to-Face: 130
 - VVC: 13
- Multidisciplinary Committee cases reviewed: 140
 - Surgery: 91 (65%)
 - Awaiting surgery: 26 (19%)
 - No surgery: 23 (16%)
 - Died before surgery: 3





Multidisciplinary Committee Contributions

- Initiation of prehabilitation
- Discovery of services available to veterans that committee members were not aware of
- True review and reconciliation of medications
- Recommendations for postoperative management and care especially in patients whose surgery is more urgent and doesn't afford much time for prehabilitation







Success Contingent Upon Buy From All Players

- Chief of Staff and Administration: \$\$\$\$
- Chief of Surgery
- Surgical Section Chiefs
- Surgeon and Surgery Advanced Practice Providers
- Clinic Nursing and Clerical Staff





Future Directions: Measuring Success

- Metrics
 - RAI tool repeated before surgery?
 - Mortality Risk Calculator with RAI at time of consult and pre-op?
- Outcome measures
 - Length of stay?
 - Readmission?
 - Surgical site infection?
 - 30-day mortality?





Contact Info

John D. Marquardt, M.D. Chief of Orthopedics James A. Haley VA HCS 13000 Bruce B. Downs Blvd. Tampa, FL 33612 813-972-2000 Ext 4749 (office) or Ext 6158 (clinic) Mobile 585-455-5090













Surgical Pause Symposium



Age-Friendly Health Systems

- Kimberly Church, MS
- National Lead for Age-Friendly Health Systems
- VHA Office of Geriatrics and Extended Care (GEC)



Age-Friendly Health Systems & the 4Ms





For related work, this graphic may be used in its entirety without requesting permission. Graphic files and guidance at ihi.org/AgeFriendly

What Matters

Know and align care with each older adult's specific health outcome goals and care preferences including, but not limited to, end-of-life care, and across settings of care.

Medication

If medication is necessary, use Age-Friendly medication that does not interfere with What Matters to the older adult, Mobility, or Mentation across settings of care.

Mentation

Prevent, identify, treat, and manage dementia, depression, and delirium across settings of care.

Mobility

Ensure that older adults move safely every day in order to maintain function and do What Matters.





What Matters



- What brings you joy? What makes you happy? What makes life worth living?
- What makes today a good day?
- What are your most important goals now and as you think about the future with your health?
- What are your most important goals if your health situation worsens?
- What is most important to you about taking care of yourself?
- What do you hope your healthcare can do for you?







Recognition



The Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI) recognizes clinical care settings that are working toward reliable practice of the 4Ms.











Transform VHA into the largest Age-Friendly Health System in the U.S.



<u>Map Key</u>

In progress (yellow)= IHI Participant Recognition in 1+ care setting

Successful (green)= IHI Committed to Care Excellence Recognition in 1+ care setting

https://marketplace.va.gov/innovations/age-friendly-health-systems





Prioritizing Age-Friendly Health Systems & Aging in Place

Department of Veterans Affairs

Memorandum

- Date: July 19, 2022
- From: Assistant Under Secretary for Health for Patient Care Services/Chief Nursing Officer (12)
- Subj: VHA Commitment to the Age-Friendly Health Systems 4Ms Movement (VIEWS 7934949)
- To: Veterans Integrated Service Network (VISN) Directors (10N1-23)

1. The purpose of this memorandum is to announce the Veterans Health Administration's (VHA) commitment to the Age-Friendly Health Systems (AFHS) movement and request that the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Medical Centers (VAMC) identify new care settings to enroll in the fiscal year (FY) 2023 VA Age-Friendly Action Community. AFHS reliably use an essential set of evidence-based practices known as the 4Ms (What Matters, Medication, Mentation and Mobility) to improve care for older adults. In March 2020, the Office of Geriatrics and Extended Care (GEC) set the aim for VHA to become the largest integrated health care system in the U.S. to be recognized by the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI) as Age-Friendly. Your support is needed to reach this goal and spread 4Ms care to all older Veterans.

2. The VA FY 2022-28, Strategic Plan identifies AFHS and the 4Ms as an internal influence for Strategy 2.2.4: Aging, Frail and End of Life Veterans of All Ages. Implementing AFHS at VA will fulfill the agency's strategy (2.2.4) to support

Department of Veterans Affairs

Memorandum

- Date: August 12, 2022
- From: Under Secretary for Health (10)
- Subj: VHA Commitment to the Equitable Access of Home and Community Based Services for Veterans to Age in Place (VIEWS 8086018)
- To: Veterans Integrated Service Network (VISN) Directors (10N1-23)

1. The purpose of this memorandum is to reinforce the Veterans Health Administration's (VHA) commitment to ensuring equitable Veteran access to Home and Community Based Services (HCBS) at all VA Medical Centers (VAMC) in support of the Veterans Aging in Place initiative whenever possible. The full array of HCBS is required at all VAMCs by the end of fiscal year 2025 to include Veteran Directed Care (VDC) and Medical Foster Home (MFH) (see Attachment A). These programmatic requirements are due to the projected growth of the population, as well as provision of standardized benefits, and equitable access to care for all Veterans.







Kimberly Church, MS VHA Office of Geriatrics and Extended Care

kimberly.church@va.gov agefriendly@va.gov





Surgical Pause Symposium



Surgical Pause North Florida/South Georgia Malcom Randall VA Hospital



Participants in Weekly Frailty Clinic (Surgical Pause)

Surgeon: Dr. Bradley Schmit Surgical NP/Coordinator: Amy Tyson, APRN Physical Therapy: Jackellyne Galvao, PT PharmD: Dr. Jacob Tillman Dietitian: Lisa Engels, MS, RDN Palliative: Dr Joni Donahoo DNP, Audra Zaragoza LCSW Anesthesiology: Dr. Dustin Hegland, Dr. Jose Soberon Cognitive Neurology: Dr. Benjamin Chapman Speech: Paige Crombie, MA, CCC-SLP Geriatrics/Research: Dr. Laurence Solberg, Dr. Ronald Shorr

Palliative vs Hospice

- with or without an end goal of being cured
- can begin at any point after diagnosis of a serious illness.

Palliative

- The focus is on planning and providing relief from symptoms and stress
- The goal is to improve quality of life for the patient and family, with or without treatment

Hospice

- decide to cease curative or aggressive treatment.
- has less than 6 months to live
- The focus is on comfort. In the VA they can have concurrent palliative treatment and hospice.
- The goal is shifting the "fight" to something winnable: we may no longer be able to cure you, but we can make you more comfortable. We can "fight" for better quality of life.





Curative vs Palliative vs Hospice













Surgeons are great at explaining and operating

Why Should Palliative be Involved in Surgical Pause?

Palliative is great at asking and listening

We need to discover what the patient wants and what is most important to them

Patients will often follow a physician's advice, without thinking about how it will affect them afterward, they are focused on the fix.

Surgery may not be the veteran's only option





Veteran 1

77 year old female underwent frailty assessment prior to R hip replacement surgery

PMH included HFrEF, AV stenosis with chronic anticoagulation, Aflutter, HLD, PVD with venous ulcer, hypothyroidism, RLS, GERD (with Xray findings concerns for malignancy, achalasia or esophageal stricture), OA, urinary incontinence, insomnia, constipation, rectal prolapse, Meniere's disease, L1 compression fx, fibromyalgia, hoarding, multiple falls and debility requiring mostly wheelchair use. In addition to chronic back pain, she has right hip pain which radiates into the groin. It is exacerbated by walking (uses walker), is aching and stabbing in quality and is worsening in frequency and intensity.

- Discussion:
 - Impact of chronic conditions on recovery from surgery
 - Falls, dizziness from Meniere's disease, wound healing, safety hazards due to hoarding
 - Chronic pain may not be cured with surgery
 - Although STR would be pursued, there was potential for not returning to independent living situation
- Veteran's values:
 - Pain control
 - Living independently as long as possible (would not want to live in LTC or with adult children)
- Outcome:
 - Non-surgical treatment for pain control+





Veteran 2

79 yo man considering Ventral Hernia Repair and/or possible colostomy reversal

History of HTN, HLD, CAD, CHF s/p heart transplant in 2002 on tacrolimus and mycophenolate, AAA, DM, L Spigelian hernia from LVAD, and ventral hernia with loss of domain from transplant postoperative complications, presenting with 3 weeks of constipation (having only liquid or pasty stool) despite 2 weeks of clear liquid diet, found to have small bowel obstruction at OSH, and presenting here for further evaluation and treatment for partial small bowel obstruction at level of ventral hernia by CT.

- Discussion:
 - With hernia surgery there is a possibility that he may require splenectomy.
 - Veteran is too fragile and will need PT to regain strength, quit smoking in order to perform colostomy reversal.
 - If veteran were to receive the reversal, then he would have to wear an adult brief and potentially have diarrhea most of the time.
- Veterans Values:
 - He stated a preference to avoid removing spleen if possible.
 - Veteran prefers to maintain colostomy bag vs adult briefs or potential consistent diarrhea
- Outcome:
 - Chose hospice





Veteran 3

100 yo male wanting Colectomy

Pt with partially obstructing cecal mass and abd pain, adamantly wanting removal of mass. PMHx oropharyngeal dysphagia status post partial gastrectomy, anemia of chronic disease, CKD stage III, urinary obstruction secondary to nodular prostate with indwelling Foley, recurrent major depression, chronic pain, chronic hyponatremia, DJD, hypertension, glaucoma and peptic ulcer disease.

- Discussion:
 - Pt would likely not survive surgery, Pt would likely live longer without surgery
 - He is completely dependent in his ADLs and IADLs,
 - has been bedbound for the past 3 years and not a candidate for rehabilitation.
 - He is a high aspiration risk, and has very poor nutritional status.
 - He is high risk for post-operative delirium and scored 2 on his mini-cog.
 - There were also concerns about his ability to tolerate/candidacy for general anesthesia.
- Pt values:
 - Pt wants to eat whatever he wants
 - Pt wants and needs full time care
- Outcome:
 - Hospice for pain management and comfort.





Palliative and the IHI 4Ms for Age Friendly Health Systems

What Matters:

• Palliative's main goal is to discover and align health and social outcomes and care preferences, not limited to end of life, across settings of care including surgery, other specialties as well as coordination with Primary care, DME and home services.

Medication:

• Ensuring medication is age friendly and appropriate for what matters, mobility and mentation. To this end palliative has a staff PharmD who specializes in pain management, in addition to the PharmD medication review offered by the RAI frailty group.

Mentation:

• Prevent, identify, treat and manage dementia, depression, and delirium. Palliative has a Licensed Clinical Social Worker (not offered by frailty group) as well as a palliative Psychologist (also not offered by the frailty group) to identify, prevent, treat and manage mental health conditions.

Mobility:

• Ensure patients move safely to maintain function. Palliative's SW works closely with the Frailty PT and NP to ensure patients have what they need at home to ensure physical, mental, and social safety and well being.





Dr Joni Donahoo, DNP, APRN

Palliative Nurse Practitioner North Florida/South Georgia Veterans Health System

joni.donahoo@va.gov





Determining What Matters in the SAGE QUERI Program Lindsay Pelcher & Daniel Hall





SAGE QUERI PROGRAM

Safer Aging through Geriatrics-informed Evidence-based Practices



Surgical Pause: pre-operative risk assessment that identifies frail older adults considering surgery and ensures surgery is aligned with Veteran goals.

Aims to implement evidence-based practices that improve clinical outcomes for aging Veterans.

These evidence-based practices are aligned with the Age-Friendly Health System "4Ms" model: what Matters to older Veterans, Medications, Mentation, and Mobility.



EMPOWER: direct-to-consumer education tool that encourages older Veterans to talk to their provider about reducing their use of high-risk medications.



TAP: Tailored Activity Program that decreases dementiarelated behavioral symptoms and reduces caregiver burden through meaningful activity.



CAPABLE: Addresses Veteran's self-identified goals in the areas of home safety, fall prevention, and ADL/IADL independence.







Surgical Pause

A preoperative risk assessment program capturing what matters most to the patient to increase shared decision making between patient and surgeon

Step 1: Measure Frailty

Step 2: Clarify Goals





Why clarify Goals?

"Fix my hernia" or "Stabilize my fracture"

Is not enough information




What do we mean "not enough information"

Periprosthetic femur fracture in a frail woman with dementia

- Prognosis is uncertain
- Treatment options are complex
- Lack of long-term relationships
- Patients don't understand what recovery from surgery really entails
- Patients have blind spots for poor outcomes
- Translating risk data into understandable picture







What do we mean "not enough information"

How it is usually done

- Detailed description of the disease process
- Describe surgery as a "solution"
- Give statistics of complication rates using technical terms
- Leave it up to the patient to decide







What do we mean "not enough information"

New Model:

- Developed by and for surgeons for preoperative conversations
- Presents a choice between two options.
- Uses story telling to describe what is likely under the best, worst and most likely scenarios.
- Sparks a conversation about patient goals, values, fears and aspirations.
- Memorialized in a graphic aid.
 - (Check out the <u>white board video</u>)
- Requires substantial communication skills.







6 Steps for Best Case/Worst Case Goal Clarification

- 1. Recognize that bad news needs to be broken
- 2. Create a visual aid
 - Surgery vs. Non Op Management
 - Treatment A vs. Treatment B
 - Gets you clear in your own head
 - Simplifies language
 - Physical deposit for family
- 3. Break bad news
- 4. Tell stories about best, worst and most likely scenarios
 - Why stories: Scenario Planning
- 5. Elicit preferences: What is important to you now?
- 6. Make a recommendation (and test alignment)





Periprosthetic femur fracture in a frail woman with dementia

• Mrs. Jackson is a 96 y/o woman with a history of dementia, hypertension, hypothyroidism, diet-controlled type 2 DM, prior DVT, and remote gynecologic cancer, who is now approximately 6 months out from a surgically repaired femur fracture on the left side. She presents to the hospital today with new onset left hip pain after suffering a fall in her bathroom. She is evaluated in the ED and found to have a left periprosthetic femur fracture distal to a recent left hip fracture repair. She had been functionally independent in a senior living facility up until 6 months prior when she experienced her original fracture and had slowly regained independence by ambulating with a walker. Her dementia has also been worse since her prior hospitalization. She has a daughter and son-in-law who live out of town but are involved with her care, frequently visiting Pittsburgh. She has a friend who is a nurse who looks in on her and helps take her to doctors' appointments.



Exam: Awake, alert, but disoriented to the circumstances of her fall and reason for being in the hospital (e.g., not capacitated for medical decision making). Thin, frail appearing. No JVD. Lungs clear. Cardiac rhythm regular without murmur. No peripheral edema. Nonfocal neuro exam.

VS: T 36.4, BP 187/80, P 96, RR 16

Labs: WBC 8.5, Hb 9.3, Cr 1.3, glu 114

Imaging: Acute displaced spiral fracture of the proximal left femoral shaft distal to the intramedullary nail from prior ORIF of an incompletely healed left intertrochanteric fracture.

EKG: Normal sinus rhythm, no ischemic changes





	With Surgery	Without Surgery
Best Case	 Uncomplicated surgery Return to room post-op OOB with PT Reasonable pain control No post-op medical complications 4-6 weeks rehab in skilled nursing Able to ambulate with walker Return to apartment Lives 6-12 months 	 Avoids risk of surgery Pain control Emphasis on comfort managed by home hospice Less intensive outpatient medical care Never walks again Lives 6-12 months
Worst Case	 Difficult surgical repair ICU stay after OR Severe delirium Respiratory failure requiring ventilator Prolonged ICU stay Prolonged hospital stay Never regains ability to walk Death in hospital within 3-6 weeks 	 Persistent pain on transfer and movement. Medical complications from immobility Conversion to open fracture Completely dependent Worsening delirium Death at home or hospital in 3-6 weeks
Most Likely Case	 Worsened delirium Longer hospital stay Discharge to SNF Never returns to living independently Lives 3-9 months 	 Reasonable pain control Hospice enrollment Immobile No delirium Dementia persistent, but no worse Lives 3-9 months









Given what I've told you about these options....

WHAT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU (YOUR LOVED ONE) NOW?





Adaptation for non-surgeons

- Gathering information on operative and non-operative options and outcomes
- Making a recommendation to the surgeon rather than the patient
- For further reference:
 - YouTube Videos
 - Surgery or supportive care for <u>toxic megacolon</u>.
 - Intensive Life Support or Supportive Care over time in the ICU,
 - In the setting of <u>deciding whether to initiate dialysis</u>.
 - BC/WC Publications
 - <u>Kruser 2015</u> PMCID: PMC4747100 DOI: 10.1111/jgs.13615
 - <u>Kruser 2017</u> PMCID: PMC5374034 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2016.11.014
 - <u>Taylor 2017</u> PMCID: PMC5479749 DOI: 10.1001/jamasurg.2016.5674
 - BC/WC Training
 - <u>Weil 2022</u> DOI: 10.1016/j.jsurg.2022.01.012





Best Case/Worst Case Training

- 2 hour in person training session for interested surgeons and all palliative care providers.
- Didactic
- Drills for what and how to have the conversation
- Practice making the graphic aid
- Roll Play

Homework to be completed before session

- Watch YouTube Video(s) on Best Case Worst Case Scenario:
 - Start with toxic megacolon. (If you've already seen this video you can see how BCWC is adapted for:
 - use over time in the ICU, or
 - in the setting of <u>deciding about dialysis</u>.
- Read Attached Instructions (attached .pdf)

 Create a Visual Aid for the demonstration case

<u>Topic</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Time</u>
Introductions	2:00 2:05	5 min
Didactic	2:05 - 2:25	20
Intro to small group (drills and role play)	2:25 - 2:30	5
Drill Key Transitions	2:30 - 3:00	30
Graphic aid construction (Case 1 or 2)	3:00 - 3:15	15
Roll Play (Case 1 or Case 2)	3:15-3:45	30
Herding Cats, Asking for Help, and Documentation	3:45-3:55	10
Questions/Discussion	3:55-4:00	5





Goals of Care Consult Request: CPRS Implementation

Completed by the surgeon or surgeon's designee:

Reason for request:

Risk Analysis Index. No results within 180 days. Perform assessment. This request is for a goals of care conversation based on the RAI greater than 37.



Based on this patient's comorbidities, the following describes the best case, most likely case, and worst-case scenario for each approach:

Suboptimal example: Based on this patients comorbidities, the following describes the best case, most likely case, and worst-case scenario for each approach: improvement in quality of life, improvement in symptoms related to hernia.

Good example: Background pertinent to case: multiple metastatic cancers, on active treatment, discussed with oncology team and determined it would be appropriate to proceed with surgery based on 2 metastatic cancers. Notable that this is elective palliative surgery, but oncology feels reasonable to proceed with surgery for this goal. Veteran's functional status was previously excellent, but now knee OA leading to decreased mobility.

Best case: successful hernia repair, minimal need for hospitalization after surgery, return to level of functioning pre-surgery.

Worst case: chronic groin pain in setting of recurrent hernia operation due to potential for nerve damage, post-operative seroma requiring drainage in post-operative period, prolonged hospitalization due to complications.

Most likely: successful hernia repair, may have some groin pain due to nerves being affected during surgery, seroma that would need drainage.





Goals of Care Note Template: CPRS Implementation

Palliative Care conducts Goals of Care conversation with patient	VALUES Patient Hopes: * live as long as possible symptom relief be mentally aware be independent be at home able to maintain interperso be a support for family cultural/spiritual beliefs Other:	Example of information discussed with patient and reported to surgeon for shared decision making.
Conversation is communicated back to surgeon via Teams and CPRS note	<pre>Patient Concerns: *</pre>	Hopes or others Fears Overarching goals
Patient and Surgeon make shared decision	Other: Patient's hopes and concerns exp *	banded:







Goals of Care Audit and Feedback: Process

Proportion of Goals of Care Consults to High RAI Rolling 3-month Average







Goals of Care Audit and Feedback: Quality







Implementation Barriers & Facilitators

Barriers

Facilitators

- Culture change regarding the pause.
- Lack of ownership (completing the consult).
- Lack of communication between providers and Palliative Care.
- Stigma associated with Palliative Care (from providers and patients).

- Providers not scheduling surgery.
- Palliative Care clinicians feeling empowered.
- Clear timeline for completing the goal clarification conversations.
- Patients expressing gratitude for candor and forthrightness.

Thank you!



Daniel E. Hall, MD, MDiv, MHSc, FACS

Staff Surgeon & Core Investigator Center for Health Equity Research and Promotion VA Pittsburgh Healthcare System

Medical Director, High Risk Populations and Outcomes, Wolff Center at University of Pittsburgh Medical Center

Associate Professor of Surgery, Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, University of Pittsburgh

Email: hallde@upmc.edu





Lindsay Pelcher, MPH

SAGE QUERI Project Manager Center for Health Equity Research and Promotion VISN4 I VA Pittsburgh Healthcare System

Email: Lindsay.Pelcher@va.gov





Surgical Pause Symposium



Interdisciplinary Medical Preoperative Assessment Consultation and Treatment clinic

IMPACT clinic

An outpatient clinic dedicated to provide "Best Practice" preoperative preparation to the veterans undergoing surgery.

Visala Muluk MD

Section Chief, IMPACT Clinic, VA Pittsburgh

Director of IMPACT, VISN4

Associate Professor of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh





VAPHS Post-op outcomes (VASQIP) were below national standards before IMPACT Clinic Preoperative Clinic prior to IMPACT was just making recommendations without follow up.

"CHANGE" was desperately needed











Barriers and Strategies

Barriers	Strategies
Establishing need	Examine current surgical outcomes compared to benchmarks
Acquiring Resources	Get Surgery and Anesthesia Chiefs on board
Creating a vision	"Optimization", not "clearance"
Communicating the vision	Meet individually with Surgery Division chiefs
Create short-term wins	Choose high priority Division, gradual roll out
Address concerns about delays	Honor proposed surgery dates Optimization tailored to surgical complexity Build priority communication lines for rapid turnaround of tests





IMPACT Staffing model

Starting model

- 1 General Medicine Physician
- 1 Anesthesiologist
- 3 CRNPs
- 1 Nurse manager
- 4 RNs
- 0.5 FTE MSA

Current model

- 2 General Medicine Physicians (one is the Section Chief)
- 1 Lead Anesthesiologist (Does Second level review)
- 6 CRNPs
- 1 Nurse manager and 1 asst. Nurse Manager (shared with another unit)
- 2 Nurse Navigators (Triaging and follow-up)
- 5 RNs
- 1 MSA





Strategies for time efficiency

Increase the reach

In person and virtual evaluation(Telephone/CVT/VVC) options Effective use of OR slots by communicating potential delays ahead of time Anesthesia sees patient only on the day of surgery with EMR communication with IMPACT team until then

Network with Medical Subspecialty consultants

- Anticipate and coordinate testing in one visit
- Bypass outpatient subspecialty clinic visits
- Take home OSA testing
- Arranging cardiac catheterization

"Concierge service"

adopting the patient until optimization is complete





IMPACT Triage done by Nurse Navigator using guidelines

Local anesthesia or conscious sedation	 RN evaluation only Positive findings and med instructions sent for physician review.
Higher levels of anesthesia	 RN screening Medicine MD and/or CRNP evaluation Anesthesiologist review
Different modes of evaluation based on complexity and distance to travel	 In person Virtual (Telephone, CVT and VVC)











IMPACT – Documentation in EMR

The multidisciplinary note is built as a "parent-child" note. IMPACT team enters a comprehensive "parent" note

• Note appropriately tailored to each case

Anesthesiologist, Surgeon, medical consultant comments and test results are attached as "child" notes. Surgeon and anesthesiologist wait for the cue "optimized" from the IMPACT team before proceeding with the surgery.





Comprehensive Preoperative Assessment process

Testing and risk prediction guided by algorithm-driven templates with embedded risk indices

• Maintains consistency

• Avoids unnecessary testing

Identify and Optimize modifiable risk factors

- Cardiopulmonary, Diabetes, HTN, OSA,VTE obesity
- Conditions requiring Prehab and Social work consults

Surgical Pause - RAI Frailty score

- Educate patient about risks of frailty like non home discharge and failure to rescue
- Goals of care consult to discuss "what ifs"
- Second level review by IMPACT Lead Anesthesiologist
- Enroll in Surgical Safety Net (30-day readmission prevention) for close follow-up

Address Mental Health and Substance use disorders

- RN screening includes suicide screening and substance use screening
- BH consulted when indicated
- Pre surgical admission arranged for detox

Medication reconciliation and management recommendations

- Anticoagulants/Antiplatelets
- Perioperative Opioid/MOUD management using STORM criteria
- Discuss pain management strategies with patient and surgery team in advance
- prebuilt pain med order sets for discharge





Sample note

COMPREHENSIVE RISK INDICES:

ASA Physical Status/ASA Classification ASA Physical Status: 111-1V

ACS NSQIP Surgical Risk Calculator

Findings: Above average risk for serious complications, pneumonia, cardiac complications, non home discharge and readmission

Lee's Revised Cardiac Risk Index 30-day risk of death, MI, or cardiac arrest % Risk: 11 (has >3 predictors)

Gupta Perioperative Risk for Myocardial Infarction or Cardiac Arrest (MICA) Risk of myocardial infarction or cardiac arrest, intraoperatively or up to 30 days post-op. % Risk: 5.3

Gupta Postoperative Respiratory Failure Risk Risk of mechanical ventilation for more than 48 hrs after surgery, or unplanned reintubation within 30 days of surgery. % Risk: 23.5

Gupta Postoperative Pneumonia Risk % Risk of postoperative pneumonia: 7.4

ARISCAT Score for Postoperative Pulmonary Complications Risk of in-hospital post-op pulmonary complications. ARISCAT Score: 86

42% risk of in-hospital post-op pulmonary complications. PostOp Pulmonary Risk: High risk

Caprini Score for Venous Thromboembolic Risk Caprini VTE Score:9 Caprini VTE Risk: Highest risk

FRAILTY ASSESSMENT:

Risk Analysis Index (RAI) FRAILTY CALCULATION: Risk Analysis Index (RAI) score is: Score: 54

FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY: Patient's daily functional capacity:Patient in ICU and bed ridden currently





Preoperative education and training







MEASURES OF SUCCESS: SINCE IMPACT STARTED ALL CASE MORTALITY O:E RATIOS FOR VAPHS VASQIP HAS BEEN CONSISTENTLY ≤1.0







IMPACT Patient Satisfaction Survey 2023 Q1

CVT visit (48 out of 112; 43% participated)



F2F visit (94 out of 142; 66% participated)















Thank You! Any Questions?







Contact Information

Visala Muluk MD

Section Chief, IMPACT, VA Pittsburgh Associate Professor of Medicine, University Of Pittsburgh VISN4 IMPACT Director

> visala.muluk@va.gov 724-612-4866





Surgical Pause Symposium



SECOND-LEVEL REVIEW

An Asynchronous, Anesthesia-Centered Case Review Strategy for Addressing RAI/Frailty (and other co-morbidities) in advance of booking an OR date, after "First Pass" in the Preop Clinic

Brian A. Williams, MD, MBA VA Pittsburgh Professor of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine University of Pittsburgh



Patient vs AnesMD Preferences for Care: Macario et al., 1999



Which Clinical Anesthesia Outcomes Are Both Common and Important to Avoid? The Perspective of a Panel of Expert Anesthesiologists

Alex Macario, MD, MBA*, Matthew Weinger, MD+, P. Truong, and M. Lee

*Departments of Anesthesia and Health Research and Policy Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford; and †Department of Anesthesiology, University of California San Diego and the San Diego Veterans Affairs Healthcare System, San Diego, California

Which Clinical Anesthesia Outcomes Are Important to Avoid? The Perspective of Patients

Alex Macario, MD, MBA*, Matthew Weinger, MD+, Stacie Carney, BA‡, and Ann Kim, BA‡

*Departments of Anesthesia and Health Research and Policy, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford; †Department of Anesthesiology, University of California San Diego and the San Diego Veterans Affairs Healthcare System, San Diego; and ‡Stanford University, Stanford, California

A&A 1999;88:1085; A&A 1999;89:652




Intersection of RAI/Surgical Pause and Opioids/Pain/PONV - 2019

JOURNAL OF PAIN & PALLIATIVE CARE PHARMACOTHERAPY 2019, VOL. 33, NOS. 3–4, 82–97 https://doi.org/10.1080/15360288.2019.1668902





Check for updates

Opioid-related respiratory and gastrointestinal adverse events in patients with acute postoperative pain: prevalence, predictors, and burden

Gary M. Oderda, Anthony J. Senagore, Kellie Morland, Sheikh Usman Iqbal, Marla Kugel, Sizhu Liu and Ashraf S. Habib

- 600,000 hospital stays. Opioid-induced respiratory depression (OIRD) burden, postoperative nausea/vomiting (PONV) burden, and Length of Stay / cost implications
- One or more opioid (fentanyl, hydromorphone) doses in-hospital→
 - OIRD 3-17%: 3-9 days of LOS increment; \$5k-\$20k cost increment
 - PONV 44-72%: 2-5 days of LOS increment; \$2k-\$9k cost increment





2nd Level Review: Given this Background

- 2010 VA Pittsburgh Surgery VP focus: concerning O:E ratios
 - VA Pittsburgh hire: IMPACT Director charged with 2nd-Level Review, actively leading adjudication of proceeding *versus* palliative/conservative management, *versus* further optimization before proceeding on the purely elective
 - Further charge: Anesthesia re-engineering → steer away from "All GA, and only GA, all of the time."
 - c.2016 Inauguration of Frailty Scoring; Opioid epidemic





Scope of concern (pre-2nd Level Review)



Pain Med 2017; 18(4):628-636. PubMed PMID: 26896319

- VASQIP VACO NSO data VA Pittsburgh Orthopedics
- Quarterly audits, annualized on the graph:
 - •>1 O:E is more frequent M&M than national VA benchmarks
 - <1 O:E is less frequent M&M than national VA benchmarks
- January'11: IMPACT Clinic / Periop.Surgical Home
- February'12: Regional Anesthesia replaces GA for 95+%





2nd Level Review versus "Tumor Board" equivalent

2 nd Level Review	Parameter	Tumor Board								
~2/8 FTEE (1/8 – 3/8)	<mark>Resource Commitment</mark>	Sustainable after extramural funding expires?								
Shared / Anchored by AnesMD and Internist/ Hospitalist, Report to VP	<mark>Leadership Structure</mark>	Institution-specific								
Part of Task/Charge	Whole Health	Uncertain inclusion								
Part of Task/Charge (adjust FTEE prn)	Pain / PONV / SUD / Opioid Stewardship	Uncertain inclusion								
Episodic, <i>ad hoc</i>	<mark>Consultant Availability</mark>	Most of meeting agenda likely not applicable to most consultants in attendance								





2nd Level Review versus "Tumor Board" equivalent model

2 nd Level Review	Parameter	Tumor Board
Email newsletter equivalent, encrypted	"Meeting" Interface	F2F / Teams
No (serial email; background Excel sheet)	Admin.Asst.Support?	Possible (agenda, minutes)
<i>Ad hoc</i> , with minimum q2mo; maximum 2x/mo	Interaction Frequency	Hard-scheduled
Structural tension	Interaction mode	Institution-specific
	How to	





2nd Level Review: CPRS Progress Note Structure (i)

• Identifiers:

____y/o, BMI ___, ___threshold RAI (>37, >45), for (date) (surgery), and (same-day D/C home / hosp.admission).

- History/testing rundown
- Per IMPACT team: (medical problems)
- (echo, stress test)
- (No echo or stress test in the system; no apparent indication for either)





2nd Level Review: Progress Note Structure (ii)

- Pain burden / opioid versus multimodal analgesia statement Given likely postop pain burden / If GA, other evidence-based multimodal analgesic / antinociceptive opioid-sparing strategies may be useful (e.g., lidocaine[PubMed PMID 28564673], ketamine[PubMed PMID: 29870457], and esmolol [PubMed PMID 29028742]).
- "Methadone instead of usual opioid" statement

Suggest 10-15 mg preop PO methadone (or 0.15 mg/kg IV methadone IBW, after intubation), as opposed to hyperalgesia-inducing typical intraop/PACU opioids.





2nd Level Review: Progress Note Structure (iv)

• Antiemetic / PONV Prevention statement

Recently-published PONV advances (PubMed PMID 34015119, 36737386) indicate 5-drug antiemetic prophylaxis given before any anesthetic intervention may be more successful than strategies based on consensus guidelines and associated risk factor determination. There are no apparent contraindications to these 5 drugs in combination for this pt., and that the combination recommended yielded 90+% success on both POD#0 and POD#1, as opposed to consensus-guided 30-70% success on POD#0-1:

IV: Palonosetron 75 mcg, dexamethasone 4 mg, diphenhydramine 12.5 mg;

PO: Perphenazine 4-8 mg, aprepitant 40 mg.





2nd Level Review: Progress Note Structure (v)

• "Rubber Stamp" statement

(CHOOSE ONE)

OK to proceed, given above factors.

Not yet OK to proceed, pending above factors.





2nd Level Review: Caveats

- Review officer is <u>not</u> a service chief *per se*, but instead has direct reporting to VP (or higher) in organization
- Review officer is reasonably senior and well-experienced, to rapidly recognize inter-generational scheduling tendencies that led to poor patient outcomes
- Authorized Structural Tension
- Any "numerator" in O:E ratio is unlikely to help. Consider "Aim for Zero."





2nd Level Review: Tips (i)

• Authorized Structural Tension / Periodic Email Report (i)







2nd Level Review: Tips (i)

• Authorized Structural Tension / Periodic Email Report (ii)

Postop (follow-ups for 30d M&M):

- Vascular: AAAA 1234 7082 RAI 58/___. 74y, BMI 38 orig.sched.for LLE bypass 6/10/22 but was a poor candidate R/S'ed as LLE angiogram, and was D/C'ed home the same day.
 Readmitted 7/13/22 delirium/osteomyelitis, great toe amp 7/15/22, still inpt. Will be off-listed next time.
- Others

Preop:

- POD: BBBB 2345. RAI 46/___. 71y, BMI 23. Inaugural podiatry case on this list. For TMA 7/22/22. Nerve block recommended, GA not recommended as first choice, parties notified. Not a "surgical pause" candidate in a strict sense because of urgency and treatability, but the Sage/Queri process is in place and working well for podiatry. Thanks to all.
- Vascular: CCCC 3456. RAI 26->38/___. 73y, BMI 20; dementia/Altoona VA CLC.
 IMPACT internist considers inappropriate for open AAA, and anatomy is apparently "bad" for a fenestrated EVAR.
 Mod->sev.AoS (AVA0.9cm^2 in 5/2022), mod.TR, &mod.PulmHTN (2021); 2022 stress ok. Carotid stenosis/past CEA;
 "incapable of ...decisions". COPD; CKD 3; Bowel/bladder incontinence.
 This office agrees re:non-candidacy. Asking Anes.chief/Surg.VP to opine.
- GenSurg: DDDD 4567. RAI 46/___, 73y, BMI 29, for 8/9->8/12/22 lap.chole and D/C home. CAD s/p '21 CABG, Ozaki AVR; AFlutter s/p DCCV 5/6/22, on AC, amio, metoprolol; OSAnCPAP; HFrEF 35%; DMII A1c 5.9%; CKD - Cr ~1.1. Multiple recent hospitalizations, incl. 12/2021 Left cerebellar subacute hemorrhage/posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome. If anyone wishes to "overrule" the current plan for Same-day D/C home after lap.chole, let us know so the anes.plan can be adjusted. I am not overtly recommending an overnight admission, rather, just making all aware of 30d M&M/readmission risk.
- Others





2nd Level Review: Tips (i)

• Authorized Structural Tension / Periodic Email Report (iii)

Cases avoided:

- ORTH: EEEE 5678. RAI 38/__, 73y, BMI 34, orig.sched.for TSA 7/8/22, but cx 7/1/22. Worsening MR/TR/PulmHTN(severe); RV pressure overload; Pancytopenia (SSI risk from low WBCs); Cirrhosis Child's A, MELD 19 (30-day mortality 26%), and still ongoing EtOH consumption thrombocytopenia likely from both myelodysplasia and cirrhosis. OSA n/c CPAP. Lung CA (treated non-surgically)- no apparent mets 6/22 PET. Long-term CA survival predictions were unknown.
- GenSurg: FFFF 6789. RAI 43/___. 77y, BMI 20. Considered for open RIH, deemed non-candidate. Sev.COPD (FEV1 ~ 0.8L; home O2, mult.inhalers, 100p/y, refuses to quit), TTE 2021: moderate TR, norm PASP; chr.pain oxycodone, Afib.
- Others

7 total patients on postop roster, and 32->25 total preop-frailty-review patients now being followed

Thanks. bw

Brian A. Williams, MD, MBA Director – IMPACT Clinic Surgery Service Line – VA Pittsburgh Professor of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine University of Pittsburgh <u>Brian.williams6@va.gov</u> 412-360-1602





2nd Level Review: Tips (ii)

• Tracking Sheet for Periodic Follow-Up

Frailty calculator report (v0	61523)							
Name	L4SSN	Date of Surgery Request	Surgery Date	Service				
	HIGHLIG	HT INDICATES COMPLETED PRO	DCEDURE					
		Procedure						
E	LUE HIGHLIGI	HT INDICATES CANCER-FRAILTY	TRIGGER (≥45)					
ORAN	IGE HIGHLIGH	IT INDICATES NON-CANCER FRA	ILTY TRIGGER (≥37)					
RAI Mortality	calculatio	n Reviewer	Comr	Comment				
Expired / Palliative List (hidden)	Episodic ca	ases for review						
Closed cases (hidden)								
	Legacy OR e	encounters avoided - no LTF	U planned					
Postop cases being followed								





2nd Level Review: Tips (ii)

Tracking Sheet for Periodic Follow-Up

8/3/3833	8/11/2822		Relie internation to the fee OFFFFFF OF and	88138/88	1.077	21c. BMI 38. Bornells, Bri T1. Bri, PEREI 38 site-from or of B#13#33, 4#1#33 come
4/38/3833	4/38/3833		THE SAME AND		1.077	71. BMI 28. Box as 225 close, BM welled also a conclus, helles BM come and set to a
	18/38/13/38/31		Mail, conditat	881 397 . MELE 13	In Child	NR., NMI 24., or anto, Roma to too tax as (2, tot-th and tax
18/4/3833	34330134843		The second base of sectors	881 434	1.488	21. BMI 31. Box walter, B#E from Inc., PBBB332, cl-111cm 149433.
11/21/2822	1241442822	1.07	The second se	BB1 3264b	IndEE	No. BMI 48, on active Blo of all sector
1141443833	1241642822		The second s	881 643	Ind	TH sales - as EPHH and analyt, the lass PHHAP.
1141443833	10/22012/201		when the bottom from some for fifther and all		IndEE	2de Mil 3be en auto
18/31/3833	12/22/2822		And I HAR IN THE AT A AND AND AND A	881480394	1.4.8.8	281, BMI 35, to attack the law PRES (2PRES)
1141243833	241201242241		ford, and forders, for, francially	111 641	1.4.8.8	28. BMI 38. But walks, BAC June PREBA, IREP June 1 and 13432433.
124842822	12/22/2822			111434	In Child	231. BMI 38 ante. B/E line PRESS
	1242842822		E2/E1 and Jones		1-441	The self 18, on each, and is also of the self of self and the self of the self
114843833	14843833				1	The BMI 11. Recently, Recent 149411
1143343833	14843833					17. Build, Burnelly, Bdf law PRES, such is low law as
	14147877		Collinso Income STT College State		1	The BMI 11, on and, Blandon of 149411
	141.438.33					
	126226220162-8			and 100 m		10, 001 21, 00 0000. Bland (2020022, 00 00)00 000 000 00.
	14443833		TREF, TREET	BB1 43/	1.455	No. and Sector and the sector se
12/14/2822	1/1/3833	TR	ANT A. INC. AND	881 31/48	In PMI	bill, BMI 21, tot andre. Pering Bill, tandersan, ellerant terange at
	1444330134314		BERKING DISCOUTE AND A DESCRIPTION OF A	881.45	1.448	Ally, BMI 33, an entry

		Factor.	and the second se	and had been set	1-07-040	and an and an
	47847701417					
						21, 11, 12, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11,
	12242201426					
						22, 1 1 2 , 1 1 1 1
						23, 11 32, 11 311
			Ten er et al en er et al et			
						2
						21, M 22. M 211
		- 22				
	Parotettoae.					
						241, 11 24. 1 1 1 11.
						211, 11 221, 11 1 111
	1010101					
	443543933			11111		
164444			Part Include			
			TO TO INCOME			
			and there are a second s			

			2404 818	881387	1.44	63., EMI 34, a.l
		l d la la la co	AC int ANU	881 387	1.48	84() 8M1 37, 8M (**** P88
		TB	Matadasaaga	88148781	1.48	78., 8MI 33, alle
			Transform on Maliform	BB1 28/	1.48	77., BMI 36, add.
			Book CR. BM IMPECT commendations		1-/	789. BMI 31. an antar
				88133746	1.44	kt, BMI 38, an ada.
		Ball-Bas	n Corporterrapeditore	881434_	1.44	73, BMI 37, ada
		and the	TER, BUSINESS IN A STREET AND A STREET AND A STREET AND A STREET	881484	1.48	83. BMI 37 wile
			Else [sp.pro.sp.m]	881434	1.48	73, BMI 38, add.
			and an investigation of the second	881 397 48	1./22	78., 8MI 38, adl.
			and the second se	BB1 487	1.44	Re, BMI 36, an edin
			douggonage	881834	1./22	84, 8MI 33, s.l
		E.U.	TC	881484	1.48	78., 8MI 38, adl.
		E.U.	T B [construction]	881.48		74. BMI 34. Ber miler
			IT CONTRACTS AN ADDA AND CARDA AND CARDA AND CARDA	881384	1.44	71. BMI 39. cor mala
				881 397_	1.48	76, 8MI 38, all.
			In terms to the advectmentation active advect should be advected and the second states of the second states and the second states advected at the second st	881847	1./CC/8M	7341. BMI 43. ees asles. BM3 secondo lasses
			INTEL CONTRACTOR INTEL CONT	8812484	1./	73. BM133 wile
		T	STT , silp	881 367 48	1.44	bbr, BMI 33. Brr witer.
		T	BBBB, Mode des segues es es	88138746	1./22/10	76, 861 38, edu
		and the	the half when he set the set of the star of the set of	881324_	1.444	11 miles. 74s, 8MI 38. 668 pr-88. 8s mm 8/13/33
			descents in TRE IN Miles and a second of the TDE second second for	88133038/48037	la Ogl/BB	73, WI 31, wit, w weit 4/31/33 WW dates. W W with 1/11/33.
			tel. I forme a ferritoria del della filla della della serie della	881324_	1.44	kin, iki 38, or ado. Bloo hal 86, a halla hala andı. Bjis ta asanıla pil kétéési hirdik kétéési a
			Int. and CONSTRANT, and of Fig. in. (SPORTSHE - and as for St.	BB1 22/		kin, iki 38, an adar. Kadin ana 170723
	************************************		TELLENEN AND AND A TELLENEN	88138746	1.44	84. BMI 33 wite.
		8812	Zig#Ziges with a court preval court #court, foresponse	BB1404	1.44	7kr, BMI 28. co. miles.
			sector (CB) - sector and diff.	88144788	1.455	77r. BMI 3b. commit
		T	BBB Contraction of the second	881736747	1.455	7kr, BMI 31. Bermeler
			The constitution of the second s	881384	1.44	27s. BMI 18. commit
			tone to at after sealer at a line said of the seas and a feed affer a.	BB1484_	1.44	73s, 881 3b, see aste. 88 sets 281 to to pressure to 89, 1010232.
	143433010	- Ber	8441.880	88138/83	1.44	k3r, BMI BB, no anto 13/33 BBT BMI out BCI-na-CCB-sporti.
	.11/17/38330		Herebergh, proprietable, a comparable of a later	881434_	Industria.	7br, BMI 33. Breweiter
			Inducation of the fact of the fact of the start of the st	881247	1.//	28. BMI 31. co/MI actof BM clicates
	0.13/16/3833		the course fill second and, full follows, from a fill of a street States.	##13#[02]/	1.455	k3r, WH Ik, or ador. Add for CTAN, dr., priparatel 18/14/33, ar or part or d 18/18/33
84843833	0.18/14/3833		him hidden bland Schelendenbland die der die der die der die Statisticht	881494	1.44	k4. BWI 31. Ber weber, Br wewe/CoC can be cound. Cleat on # cours and # cambinated above had, as at 18/19/33.
	0.878733	P.0	the name of the first and a date as the factors after the factors	101 SUV_	1.444	73, Mil 48, or ador. Brana 18/19/33. Brogle Islam ados 9/38/33.
			had any solution will be freed and the first of	881.267	1.4.6	74. BMI 41. Bormator.
		- utility	TO GOOD DEVICEN	AND DESCRIPTION OF MANY OF	1.455	b3r. BMI 38. Close cal account control ITT ar. II/38/31 dire contributions instanTEP PTT*99. BBT 1880188 cal 8
	0.18/31/383:		ICOLOGIA CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	881 397	1.4.8.8	74). EMI 33. () et el el el 14/3/33
	0.1438438330		I follow BRI and Blo fforefollo as at ISPIR/SSI - afort A/S.	88143784	10/22	79s, 888 48s. Ass astag astassas agat to a gast.

114143131	10110.1013033		and an annual for fifth fir. fo and the fifth of the second state of the	101437	10/00	
143143833	0.3/8/3833	107	providently B1, layer to prof. 58 concerns	BRI-SRAAA official and	1.788	871, 8MI 21017. 81
11/12/2821	241142822		interchant district a short district and	881374	Incide a	841. 8MI 38. 811 m
34143833	0.3/8/3833			881444_	Invite Market	771, BMI 39, 3881
111410	10.40		I Tana MEC	881437	1.788	48., BMI 33. B. B
	111		THE LOCAL DESIGN AND A DESIGN	881487	1.788	841. BMI 38. Pref
		mile	Hundred and A MER and a start SYSTEMS	881267	In COM	\$71. BMI 31. 81 BM
242842822	11242422	and an	Cant.	881434		
143843833			THEATH	881 33448	1.488	23. BMI 38
184443831	413 01413433.		Al control to be and the set of the set		1	
111	111				1	
	Contraction and					741. BH I 3 4. BH
						781. 881 33. 811 B
			civilyit internet. All the the world in the			
		- miler	the second se	101344		L. M
110101		T	Learning traditional control to [10]-101 441433[101 30V_	10/00	23., 881 38, 81
3/8/3833	1004/10/2023		TILET	88139747	10.07.0	Bbo, BMI 38. Born
143143833	####3#33m		T C [lau]		1.44	24., BMI 38. Mark
				88148748	1.488	BRI, BRI_, Brind
			again again an	881 374	1.488	the solar. IMPRET I
		and the	TER signal & designation	88143784	1.488	74., SMI 38. Born
			TEL COCOL 200-200	881414	1.48	78 BMI 34. CBB
8/31/3831	ul		where decemped and destables	a a trad	1.788	ter at atte. Brann
				BELSE Instant.	La Chill	14. BMI 38. COPE
a se	a second	III.	All draw bandlouds: failes same - of famological Statist	6016	In Child	bbr. 8MI 39. 8188
			the fully second descellation is the second second as a full of the second seco		In dia M	28. BMI 33. PRC.
			the second deal diseased and seed to date a subset	000.00	1.444	
100			had been been a first starte and save to see a first starte to the set of the set			14
447847877				and the second se		14
					1.411.47.8	74. 881 74 818
					1	/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						210, 881 24. 80 80
	200003033		T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T			231, 101 34. 111 1
PASA3833	241443833		T S T Indiata		1	781, 881 34, 11 81
FAFA3833	11.773673833			88143281	1.744	11., 1MI 38. 8.1 m
			too tit - concernation and -co. and for an affect	881437	1.44	771. BMI 38. Bir M
			the part transitional Mac, and other set on the	BB1487_	1.44	21., SMI 34. S. M
		10007788	we assess Will be the tot. Zone, deduce assessment PERZI	88140	1.488	781. BMI 36. Brr at
8/18/3833				BB1464	Infort MM	78., 8MI 24, at
			and an address of the constraint of the second of the seco	881 367 43	1./88	48 BMI 33
			HE LOOP AND	881387	1.788	83 8MI 38. 8 es
111	111	TR	And a first for an and a second of the second of the second states of the second s	88143784	In PTP	731. BMI 38. Ber #
	843, 8416433	8855	BCB for call is agreed	881434	1./	74. BMI 744
841943833	0.18/3/3833	B alla	TER United	881 434	INCOME.	281. BMI 38. Brr at
			ITTE and discoulance in the second second	101 304 44	Industrial	bbr. BMI 31. Ber auf
		112	Marche and \$55 (2)() and an included \$55.00	111214	In CHIE	23. BMI 38. See
	Hudtet		Redenders Hanna Reits also Retted 2012 and also and also Res Tra-		1	B1., BMI 35,
				881 410 384		
		Burn of the	Relations Billions (CERT) and another an of CERTS			
			the second secon			241, 101 36, 111 81
			needed the second of the second of the second			241, 881 37. dir M
			the figure provide to size for a solution (br-source)	ALC: YES		731, BMI 14, BO BI
				100 40 A	1.484	B., B. 38, a.
CALLS I FEI			Linear Information and a strategy of the strat	100	1.745	73. BMI 33 at
	111		100	BB1 354	1.488	73., 881 31, 8., 88
			dar Crassels Core Balance W/W/SS core on BB for corefe		INVECTOR	73., SMI 43, at
1/24/2822	14808438433		Induction from 12 could bit with our foundation of the CODE CONCERNE	881 19	Inches.	In ada. If can
111	111	8865	"conserved, and an according, adjust, didde adapted, adapted d	BB1 38/_	1./18	64., SMI 43, at
111		TR	Hadadan Information assessed affect and Mills	00125400	Inview.	bar. BMI 38 at
8/3/3833	1/28011/25/2	1.07	color appel (from the first or 10/18/22) related all appropriate fit according	881284	INCOMP.	88., 8MI 24. 8 a.
		-	THEFT. 2000. CTAL (VIVII. offer one control		In CMI	78 BMI 36. 8
			THE L OF COMPANY AND A STATISTICS AND A		11111	##+. #MI 36. #++ ++
111	111		It have I for for face and must be seen a	881.384	In Chil	CC BMI 32
A41343833			the second		Industry.	38. BMI 35







Scope of concern (punchline)



Pain Med 2017; 18(4):628-636. PubMed PMID: 26896319

- VASQIP VACO NSO data
 VA Pittsburgh Orthopedics
- Quarterly audits, annualized on the graph:
 - •>1 O:E is more frequent M&M than national VA benchmarks
 - <1 O:E is less frequent M&M than national VA benchmarks
- January'11: IMPACT Clinic / Perioperative Surgical Home
- February'12: Regional Anesthesia replaces GA for 95+%





VA Pittsburgh Joint Replacement Cost Analyses: Pre-Post 2nd Level Review and Anesthesia Restructure Excludes prosthesis costs Courtesy VACO MCAO



Association, not Causation; \$14,000 cost savings per case

2nd Level Review / IMPACT / Regional Anesthesia Outcomes



Pain Med 2017; 18(4):628-636. PubMed PMID: 26896319

Conclusions:

- Asynchronous anesthesia-centered review of patients' Whole Health and Frailty issues, in light of anesthesia-centered factors that <u>will</u> escalate LOS, M&M.
- Encourage empowerment of senior anesthesiologist 2nd Level Review Officer to (i) contribute to the "proceed" decision; and (ii) carry out the specific anesthesia plan that warranted the original multidisciplinary review request following RAI Frailty calculation, both before scheduling a surgical date.





Tune in Tomorrow: VA HEROES

(Hazard-avoiding Enhanced Recovery & Opioid/Environmental Stewardship)

A Response to the Opioid Epidemic by minimizing drug-induced euphoria in VA surgical patients

Brian A. Williams, MD, MBA - Presenter Daniel E. Hall, MD, MS, MDiv, FACS – National Diffusion Fellow VISN 4: VA Pittsburgh



Brian A. Williams, MD, MBA Professor – University of Pittsburgh Inaugural Director – IMPACT – VA Pittsburgh <u>Brian.williams6@va.gov</u> Available for Detailed Remote Consultation





Surgical Pause Symposium



HYBRID 1 EFFECTIVENESS IMPLEMENTATION TRIAL

PAtient-centered mUltidiSciplinary care for vEterans undergoing surgery (PAUSE) trial

Shipra Arya MD, SM, FACS, DFSVS

Professor of Surgery, Stanford University School of Medicine, Section Chief, Vascular Surgery, VA Palo Alto Healthcare System Director of Quality, Surgery Service Line, VAPAHCS



• VA Health Services Research and Development (HSR&D) 1101HX003215-01A1

No commercial COI





RISK ANALYSIS INDEX



Figure 1. Domains of frailty captured by the Risk Analysis Index





JAMA Surgery | Original Investigation

Association of a Frailty Screening Initiative With Postoperative Survival at 30, 180, and 365 Days

Daniel E. Hall, MD, MDiv, MHSc; Shipra Arya, MD, SM; Kendra K. Schmid, PhD; Mark A. Carlson, MD; Pierre Lavedan, MD; Travis L. Bailey, BS; Georgia Purviance, RN; Tammy Bockman, RN, MHA; Thomas G. Lynch, MD, MHCM; Jason M. Johanning, MD, MS

Table	• 1. Changes	Table 1. Changes in mortality before and after implementing FSI (%)														
	30-day N	Nortality	180-day I	Nortality	365-day I	Nortality										
	Before FSI	After FSI	Before FSI	After FSI	Before FSI	After FSI										
All	1.6	0.7	4.2	1.9	6.1	2.8										
Non-frail	1.2	0.3	3.5	1.2	5	1.7										
Frail	12.2	3.8	23.9	7.7	34.5	11.7										







Figure 4a. Cause and effect diagram to identify barriers and facilitators to frailty screening in surgical clinics

Figure 4b. Statistical Process Control (SPC) p-chart showing PDSA cycles and special cause signals in frailty screening rates in vascular surgery clinic



JAMA Surgery | Original Investigation | ASSOCIATION OF VA SURGEONS

Association Between Patient Frailty and Postoperative Mortality Across Multiple Noncardiac Surgical Specialties

Elizabeth L. George, MD, MSc; Daniel E. Hall, MD, MDiv, MHSc; Ada Youk, PhD; Rui Chen, MS; Aditi Kashikar, MBBS; Amber W. Trickey, PhD; Patrick R. Varley, MD; Paula K. Shireman, MD, MS, MBA; Myrick C. Shinall Jr, MD, PhD; Nader N. Massarweh, MD, MPH; Jason Johanning, MD, MS; Shipra Arya, MD, SM



^a Percentage frail and very frail indicate the proportion of the within-specialty records with Risk Analysis Index 30 to 39 (frail) and greater than or equal to 40 (very frail). Differences in proportions of frail and very frail patients among specialties were all significant at *P* < .001.

Figure 1. Thirty-Day Mortality After Surgery in 9 Noncardiac Surgical Specialties Stratified by Frailty Status (Risk Analysis Index) and Operative Stress Score (OSS)



There Is No Such Thing As Minor Surgery In Frail Patients



Preoperative risk stratification



Paradigm Shift





PAUSE Trial

- Pragmatic trial
- Cluster randomized, stepped wedge design
- Three sites: Palo Alto, Houston, Nashville VAMCs
- Screening veterans for frailty in surgical clinics
- Referral for frail veterans being considered for surgery to PAUSE board for multidisciplinary discussion
- Formative evaluation based on Consolidated Framework for Implementation research (CFIR) constructs





Procedure for PAUSE trial



RAI frailty score screening for patients being scheduled for surgery

Who does screening: Surgery team member or nursing

Trained by PAUSE board coordinator

For RAI>=37, referral consult to PAUSE Board

PAUSE board coordinator: Does comprehensive assessment with patient, discusses in PAUSE board and documents/sends recommendations







VA HSR&D IIR 20-077: PAtient-centered mUltidiSciplinary care for vEterans undergoing surgery (PAUSE): a hybrid 1 clinical effectiveness-implementation intervention trial. PI: Dr. Shipra Arya



Jan- Mar 2021	Apr- Jun 2021	Jul- Sept 2021	Oct- Dec 2021	Jan- Mar 2022	Apr- Jun 2022	Jul- Sept 2022	Oct- Dec 2022	Jan- Mar 2023

Randomization Schedule

Step	Stepped Wedged Deployment and Formative Evaluation Schedule																																
Site	Surgical	Formative Evaluation	М	J	J	Α	S	0	Ν	D	J	F	М	Α	Μ	J	J	Α	S	0	Ν	D	J	F	М	А	М	J	J	Α	S	0	Formative
	Specialty	Pre-implementation	а	u	u	u	е	С	0	e	а	е	а	р	а	u	u	u	е	с	0	е	а	е	а	р	а	u	u	u	е	с	Evaluation Post-
		May 2021-Apr 2022	у	n	I.	g	р	t	v	С	n	b	r	r	у	n	1	g	р	t	v	с	n	b	r	r	у	n	Ι	g	р	t	implementation
						2	022				2023														2025								
	Cardiothoracic																																
	General																																
Nto	ENT/Plastics																																
lo ⊅	Vascular																																
Pal	Urology																																
	Orthopedics																																
	Neuro																																
	Vascular																																
	ENT/Plastics																																
ville	Cardiothoracic																																
shv	Orthopedics																																
Na	Urology																																
	Neuro																																
	General																																
	General																																
	Neuro																																
ion	Orthopedics																																
Isn	ENT/Plastics																																
Но	Vascular																																
	Urology																																
	Cardiothoracic																																
		Usual Care																															
		Surgical Speciality Clin	nic (Onb	oard	din	g																										
		PAUSE=PAtient-cente	ered	mU	Jltidi	Sc	iplina	ary o	care	for	vEt	erar	ns u	nde	rgoi	ng s	urg	ery															

```
LOCAL TITLE: PAUSE I Lawton IADL
                                                     LOCAL TITLE: PAUSE BOARD CONSULT RECOMMENDATIONS
STANDARD TITLE: ADMIN
                              Ability to use tele STANDARD TITLE: CONSULT
                      14.
DATE OF NOTE:
                                                    DATE OF NOTE:
                                                                                          ENTRY DATE:
                      15.
                              Shopping
      AUTHOR: DORUK, I
                                                          AUTHOR: DORUK, RANA NAGEHAN
                                                                                        EXP COSIGNER:
                      16.
                              Food preparation
     URGENCY :
                                                         URGENCY :
                                                                                              STATUS: COMPLETED
                      17.
                              Housekeeping
                      18.
                              Laundry
Study Title: Patient
                                                    The PAUSE Board convened on The following are recommendations from
                      19.
                              Mode of transportat:
surgery (PAUSE)
                                                    representatives from surgery, geriatrics, anesthesia, nutrition, case
                              Responsibility of me
                      20.
Study PI: Dr. Shipra
                                                    management, rehabilitation and palliative care.
                              Ability to handle f:
                      21.
                                                    Patient is planned to undergo Left carotid endarterectomy procedure.
PAUSE Board Meeting (TOTAL SCORE: 1 / 8
Staff Coordinating Me Reference: 8 = high function Surgery:
Patient is a vear
                                                            No additional recommendations.
                                                    1)
endarterectomy. On 22.
                              Does anyone help you
Analysis Index with able to help you after your Geriatrics:
the cancer status (wh Answer: spouse and daughter 1)
                                                            Patient has metastatic cancer and is wheelchair bound and is having
patient was referred
                                                    hard time being in the hospital. Patient refuses PT. Recommended for surgical
                              Overall, in the past team to discuss with the patient and family what are their priorities are in
                      28.
PROCEDURE DETAILS
                      keeping your balance while sterms of medical care.
Surgical Specialty:
                      Answer: patient is on wheeld
Provider referring to
                                                    Anesthesia:
Date referred to PAUS
                                                            Blood pressure and heart rate is high, but patient is not on beta
                              Have you had any fail)
                      29.
                     Answer: patient is on wheeld blocker. Recommended for PCP to prescribe beta blockers to reduce long-term
Attending Surgeon:
Type of Surgery:
                                                    risks.
Diagnosis:
                Occli
                                                            Recommended for surgical team to advise patient to continue his PO
                      20.
                              Are you able to hand
165,22)
                                                    iron for iron deficiency & anemia, and potentially consider iron infusion
                      releasing objects using you:
Target Date for Surge
                                                    pro-operatively
OSS/Anesthesia Pre-on Answer: yes
                                                    CONSULTATIONS Comprehensive, Moderate Related to: Service Connected Condition
                                                    Diagnoses:
                             Are you currently u: Occlusion and Stenosis of left Carotid Artery (ICD-10-CM 165.22) (Primary)
                     31.
Pre-op Disposition:
is refusing PT/OT at your house, or to help with Cerebral Infarction, unspecified (ICD-10-CM 163.9)
Anticipated Discharge Answer: wheelchair
                                                    Health Factors:
                                                    PAUSE-SURGICAL CANDIDATE
                      32.
                              Do you have a part
Comments:
                                                    VA-RAI FRAILTY SCORE
                     or move around in?
ECOG 3. Significant (Answer: no
                                                   es Consults Surgery D/C Summ Labs Reports
2 and MT "
```

Specific Aim 3. To use a mixedmethod formative evaluation to understand the contextual and other factors that influence fidelity, adaptation and implementation of the PAUSE trial intervention.


Formative Evaluation

- Rigorous assessment process to identify potential and actual influences on the progress and effectiveness of interventions and implementation efforts
- Identifying modifications to intervention or implementation efforts that will optimize opportunities for success
- Analyzing the causal events leading to change and the specific components of the intervention that most influenced outcomes





Stages of Formative Evaluation

- <u>Developmental</u>: Initial interviews and focus groups; preimplementation site visits
- Implementation focused: Site visits and onboarding
- <u>Progress focused</u>: Weekly reports, monthly calls, site notes
- <u>Interpretive</u>: PAUSE board meetings, monthly calls, postimplementation site visits, interviews and focus groups





CFIR Framework

CIFR Domains Represented in the PAUSE Trial



https://cfirguide.org/constructs/

Innovation: PAUSE Trial

Outer Setting: VA Healthcare System; VA Sites; non-surgical specialties and clinicians providing care for frail patients; patients Inner Setting: surgical specialties at the intervention sites; broader surgical teams within those specialties

Process: assessing stakeholders' needs, indicating barriers and facilitators to implementation, identifying roles and responsibilities, engaging stakeholders, indicating strategies to adapt the intervention

Individuals: surgical and nursing leadership, surgeons, other physicians, mid-level personnel, trainees, patients

Expected Outcomes: perceived acceptability, appropriateness, feasibility, implementation climate, implementation readiness, anticipated outcomes: implementation success or failure, intervention impact

Developmental FE



Key stakeholders identified champions, potential barriers and facilitators, and possible intervention adaptations.

Limited time, staff shortage, and the concern that the PAUSE model may delay surgery as the main barriers.

Nurses were identified as partners in intervention execution.

Surgical and nursing leadership engagement was indicated as crucial to adopting any new healthcare model in the studied facilities.

We also learned that existing inter-site and inter-specialty variations might influence the implementation process.

through March 2023



Study team and sponsors



- VA Center for Implementation and Innovation (Ci2i) Palo Alto
- National Surgery Office
- Office of Geriatrics and Extended Care
- Office of Hospice and Palliative care

VA Tennesee Valley HS (TVHS--Nashville)

Myrick Shinall Chelsea Rick Alex Osterhout Erika Rivera

Former L

Luke Ignell

VA Michael E DeBakey VAMC (MEDVAMC--Houston)

Konstantinos Makris Jennifer Taylor Christopher Ganey

VA Pittsburgh VAMC

Daniel Hall Kristina Hruska

Western-Nebraska Omaha HCS

Jason Johanning

Site Name VA Palo Alto HCS

> Shipra Arya Todd Wagner Alex Sox-Harris Arden Morris Karl Lorenz Manjula Tamura Carolyn Seib Amber Trickey Ashley Langston Rana Doruk Karleen Giantripani

Former

Rui Chen, Nicolas Barreto, Marzena Sasnal, Adam Furst

PAUSE Board attendees

Carolyn Seib, MD Marie Adachi, MD Caroline Park, MD Joanne Weith **Richard Liang** Gary Hsin, MD Karl Lorenz, MD Tessa Walters, MD Rachel Wang, MD Kamala Shankar, MD Chitra Gowda, MD Trang Nguyen Casey Brandt Tamara J. Gay Mary Yen Susan Harrison Jon Martin Ellen Ford Danielle Markman Gauri Karnik Angel X. Jin Katrina Teresa Carpio Dat T. Hoang-Gia Estela D. Dau Sharlene Burgos

Colleen Kiernan, MD Phil Perdue, MD Mayur Patel, MD Hamid Shah, MD Mike McHugh, MD Irving Basanez, MD Brian Drolet, MD John Curci, MD Jennifer Robles, MD Tarek Absi, MD Eric Grogan, MD Rhonda Pinkerman, NP Chelsea Rick, MD Ralf Habermann, MD Sumanthi Misra, MD Ricky Shinall, MD Michael R. Johnson Kelly A. Ortega, MD Ramachander K. Pai, MD Katherine S. Morris, MD Sarah Welch, DO Irene Fankhauser, BSN, CCRN Robert Albright, RN Jamie Macknair, RN Cristina Garafola

Konstantinos Makris, MD Jennifer Taylor, MD Samir Awad, MD Neal Barshes, MD, MPH Vlad Sandulache, MD David Netscher, MD Lorraine Cornwell, MD (interim) David Green, MD Jeffrey Jones, MD Alfonso Fuentes, MD Christy Chai, MD Edith Wong, MD Angela Catic, MD Ursula Braun, MD Alex Belfar, MD Shahid Ali, MD Marvin Nuval, MD Koriand'r Williams, MD Nikki Duncan, RN April Fields, RN Patricia Suarez Lauren Probstfeld, RD Diana Bearden Cindy Levine-Flynn George Taffett, MD **Denice Green**

Thank You

- <u>sarya1@stanford.edu</u>
- <u>shipra.arya2@va.gov</u>
- @ShipraAryaMD







Strategies for Multidisciplinary Preoperative Evaluation The Cleveland Experience: Multidisciplinary High Risk Surgery Patient Conference



Eric Marderstein, MD, MPH Section Chief of General Surgery Northeast Ohio VA Healthcare Network VISN 10 General Surgery Workgroup Lead



Cleveland is a high-risk complex facility with excellent outcomes

- For Quarter ending Dec 2022: low outlier for BOTH morbidity (0.82) and mortality (0.67).
- We do an excellent job capturing risk. For same time period:
 - Expected morbidity 7.32%
 - Expected mortality 1.57% (Complex average <1.00%)
- We have many full-time staff including: Chiefs of Surgical Service, General Surgery, Vascular Surgery, Orthopedic Surgery, Neurosurgery, Anesthesia.

These documents or records or information contained herein, which resulted from the VA National Surgery Office are confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 USC 5705 and its implementing regulations. This material will not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law or its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosures.





Cleveland experience: Our preoperative process

- Redesigned in 2012 to include comprehensive templated note to be performed by primary care/internal medicine.
- Reorganized and modernized in 2017 under anesthesia perioperative medicine using advance practice providers reporting to an anesthesia division head.
- All patients receiving a surgical procedure with anesthesia are seen in person or virtually.
- Physical presence at several outlying CBOC.





Cleveland experience: Reduce same day cancellations and agree on workup for high risk veterans

- Started the Multidisciplinary High Risk Surgery Patient Conference.
- Modelled after 'Tumor Board Format' including disclaimer.
- Created a TEAMS channel where cases could be 'posted.'
- Conference on Thursday afternoon first and third Thursday of the month.
- Critical attendees: cardiologist, pulmonologist, anesthesiologist, surgeon.





$\langle \rangle$	Q Search				- 🌸 -	
Activity	6-1-23 High Risk Surgery Patient Conference - Saved 🗸	Search Word				
Ð	File Home Insert Layout References Review View Help	Open in Desktop App	Ø Editing ∽ R ^Q Share	🕲 Copy Link 📮 Comments	-∿- Catch up	··· Close
Chat	Zoom 90% 🗸 🛅 100% 🗠 Ruler 🔲 Navigation 불 Header & Foo	ter ab Footnotes 💭 Endnotes 🗎 Page Ends				
Callendar Callendar Files VA Central Word 		fer abs Footness bit Endoses If a surgical risk patient conference 6-1-23 (Please do not try to add more than 8 patients per session) Man Lat. Planned surgery (Attending) Posted by These recommendations represent the consensus opinion of providers present at this patient care conference. Many of the providers formulating the recommendation have not personally seen or evaluation of the patient under discussion. Recommendations are based on the patient data presented. The treating provider is ultimately responsible for the comprehensive chart review and evaluation of the patient. It is understood that the treating provider considers the expertise of the group in formulating his her plan for the patient. However, in many situations, based on individualized patient considerations, a different plan is determined by the treating provider to be the optimal medical management 1. XXX 0780 shoulder arthroplasty Orthopedics 1. Mith shoulder pain under consideration for shoulder arthroplasty when seen Jan 2023. His workup included ECHO, PFT and catheterization. This showed moderate aortic stenosis and significant mid-LAD disease and RCA disease in nondominant system. He was seen by cardiothoracic surgery and no intervention planned. Waiting for AS to become severe and would adress LAD at that time. CT surgeons say shoulder surgery should be ox. 2. XXX 0749 Type III PEH repair Thoracic / Bribnicsco Mith shoulde pain under consideration for shoulder repair giant PEH. Tolerated EGD 3/16. For of CAA, CAD s p CABGR4 2002, Hi				
		62M with RCC L kidney. Has paroxysmal Afib, question CHF/NSTEMI, OSA, anemia, morbid obesity. Was admitted to Mercy for dyspnea 4/2023 and was <u>diuresed</u> . Could not be scheduled				
		for cardiology eval.				
Help	Page 1 of 2 422 words English (U.S.) Text Predictions: On				- 90%	+ 📮 Fit
•	O 🖽 💽 🚍 🥊 🤩 💶 😘 💋 💶 🐠 😕					5:07 PM 6/7/2023





Multidisciplinary High-Risk Surgery Patient Conference: Process

- Ideal moderator is a surgeon.
- Moderator, not the operating surgeon, presents the case.
- Ideally the operating surgeon attends and can speak to the details of the planned OR.
- Some discussion about potential for less invasive surgical options.
- Review specialty studies and discuss.
- After the conference, a note is entered in the patient chart for co-signature.
- Make recommendations that are useful but provide discretionary room.











🔁 VistA CPRS in use by: Marderstein,Eric (vista.cleveland.med.va.gov)

File Edit View Action Options Tools Help

USC DEFICE

	IC: RAV PACT 3 / PCP FANTAUZZO,P					
			T 10 101 10000			
	LUVID-19	Negative	Test: 10/31/2022	More recent testing done - see details		
Last 200 Signed Notes (Total: 4961)	Visit: 05/31/23 MULTIDISCIPLINARY CONFERENCE NOTE, CLE SAME DAY CENTER NURSE, ERIC N	ARDERSTEIN (Jun 01	1,23@17:11)			
 All signed notes Particle Jun 01.23 MULTIDISCIPLIT Particle Jun 01.23 COVID-19 CANC May 31.23 UROLOGY PRE May 26.23 PSYCHIATRY F May 25.23 UROLOGY SUF May 24.23 PSYCHIATRY F May 24.23 PSYCHIATRY F May 24.23 PRE OP SHOR May 24.23 TELEHEALTH May 24.23 SCHEDULING (May 11.23 PATIENT RESL May 04.23 SCHEDULING (May 04.23 SCHEDULING (May 04.23 SCHEDULING (May 04.23 SCHEDULING (Apr 25.23 OUTPATIENT N May 04.23 SCHEDULING (Apr 25.23 PRIMARY CARE Apr 25.23 PRIMARY CARE Apr 24.23 SOCIAL WORK f Apr 21.23 PATIENT N Apr 21.23 PATIENT N Apr 21.23 PATIENT N Apr 21.23 PATIENT N Apr 21.23 SCHEDULING (Apr 21.23 SCHEDULING C Apr 18.23 NON VA CARE C Apr 18.23 NON VA CARE C Apr 18.23 NON VA CARE TI Apr 18.23 SCHEDULING C Apr 18.23 PACT NURSE TI Apr 18.23 SCHEDULING C Apr 18.23 NO SHOW (T)// Apr 18.23 PACT NURSE TI Apr 10.23 PACT NURSE TI Apr 05.23 PM&RS AMPUTE Apr 05.2	LOCAL TITLE: MULTIDISCIPLINARY CONFERENCE NOTE STANDARD TITLE: CONFERENCE NOTE DATE OF NOTE: JUN 01, 2023@17:11 ENTRY DATE: JUN 01, 2023@17:12 AUTHOR: MARDERSTEIN,ERIC EXP COSIGNER: UNGENCY: STATUS: COMPLETED *** MULTIDISCIPLINARY CONFERENCE NOTE Has ADDENDA *** MULTIDISCIPLINARY HIGH RISK SURGERY PATIENT CONFERENCE CLINICAL PRESENTATION 62M with RCC L kidney requiring left nephrectomy. He has paroxysmal Afi anemia, morbid obesity (BMI 46). He was admitted to OSH (Mercy) for dys 4/2023 and was diuresed. At that time, may have had NSTEMI. He was see preop clinic 5/24 and was noncompliant with medications, weight was up to be in CHF. His EF is preserved but his BP is poorly controlled. DISCUSSION IL was noted that he seemed to tolerate his 4 vascular surgery procedure 2022 uneventfully. The group acknowledged that for CHF patients they ne medically optimized to safely have an operation. Given his noncomplianc the urgency of his cancer diagnosis, this likely would require hospitali and logistically an outpatient clinic visit to plan that may not alter t plan/management. Regarding, need for catheterization - it does not appe likely but this decision can also be made during his preoperative hospitalization - keeping in mind his Cr is 2.0 at baseline. IMPRESSION Left renal cell cancer CHF preserved EF with poor medical optimization secondary to noncomplian dditional medical comorbidity including morbid obestiy, sleep apnea RECOMEND We recommend that urology select a future operative date and then work b the week prior with admission to cardiology/medicine service can be arra optimize his CHF and make a decision about whether catherization is need These recommendations represent the consensus opinion of providers prese this patient care conference. May of the providers formulating the recommendation have not personally seen or examined the patient under discussion. Recommendations are based on the patient data presented. The treating provider is ultimately responsible for the comprehensive chart and evaluation of the	o, OSA, pnea n in nd felt s in ed to be e and zation he ar ce ackwards nged to ed. nt at review der to be	Sar	nple		
VHA DIFFUSION O	FEXCELLENCE					
Surgical	Pause Symposium					



Disclaimer

• These recommendations represent the consensus opinion of providers present at this patient care conference. Many of the providers formulating the recommendation have not personally seen or examined the patient under discussion. Recommendations are based on the patient data presented. The treating provider is ultimately responsible for the comprehensive chart review and evaluation of the patient. It is understood that the treating provider considers the expertise of the group in formulating his/her plan for the patient. However, in many situations, based on individualized patient considerations, a different plan is determined by the treating provider to be the optimal medical management





Multidisciplinary High-Risk Surgery Patient Conference: Outcome

- Decision made to not proceed with surgery.
- Decision made to under significant optimization/prehabilitation.
- Additional tests, studies, consults and then reevaluation.
- Operating surgeon will discuss conference assessment with patient and reassess his symptoms, in light of the group opinion.
- Patient is optimized and high risk and going to have an operation and everyone needs to get on board.
- Patient is optimized and risk actually not that high.





Multidisciplinary High-Risk Surgery Patient Conference: Things I personally have learned.

- A pulmonologist can look at one set of PFT and tell you exactly who your patient is.
- Patients with lung disease need to be on the right inhalers, taking them and show up for surgery looking at least at their baseline.
- Patients with heart failure need to be on the right medications, taking them and show up for surgery not in heart failure.
- Many cardiac studies are read as abnormal but when reviewed in context can potentially be not nearly as concerning.
- Certain operations can be very stimulating and difficult from anesthesia perspective.
- Do not make recommendations for operative or anesthesia techniques that are not typically done just due to extreme patient risk.





Multidisciplinary High-Risk Surgery Patient Conference: Other advantages

- Creates institutional memory and learning for all the conference participants.
- Especially important to the preoperative advance practice providers to hear the experts review and discuss the complex cases they have seen in preoperative clinic.
- Provides feeder topics for our bimonthly Surgery-Anesthesia Joint Conference.
- Reduces same day cancellation by getting everyone on the same page prior to surgery.

















Disclosures

- 1. Nothing financial to report
- 2. I am a surgeon and therefore a trained decision maker and fixer



Members And Team

Thomas Z. Hayward III, MD, MBA, FACS



How to Lead

- There are tons of books, articles, speeches on this topic
- You will have decisions to make on how to lead
- One style of leadership doesn't work for in every situation or for every organization or for every individual
- Listen and be adaptative
- Here is the tale of two managers from Liz Wiseman author of the book "Multipliers"









The Diminisher

- A leader who squashes innovation and talent by doing all the thinking themselves.
- Believe that intelligent people are a rare breed and that I am one of the few smart people
 - Decision maker
 - Other people will never figure out things without me
- Creates temporary success because of standardization and making sure documentation is complete
 - Documentation and administrative rules <u>are not</u> patient care and cannot replace sound clinical judgment
- Ultimately the micromanager stifles innovation, creates dependency on the system and breeds discontent



The Multiplier

- A leader who grows intelligence by engaging it
- They don't need the credit, and they don't need to be the smartest in the room
- Engage people in debating the issues up front which leads to decisions that people understand and can execute efficiently
 - The process is more important that the results
- Trust that good people working collaboratively will get the desired results
- The best things in life are worth waiting for resist the temptation for a quick fix







Five Disciplines of the Multipliers

- The Talent Magnet: attract and optimize talent
 - Appreciate all types of genius
 - Connect people with opportunities
- The Liberator: require peoples best thinking
 - Insist on learning from mistakes
 - Shift the ratio of listening to talking
- The Challenger: extend challenges
 - Show the need
 - Lay out a path
- The Debate maker: debate decisions
 - Ask for the data
 - Ask for the hard question
- The Investor: instill accountability
 - Teach and Coach

Lead Like a Multiplier



https://www.med.umch.edu/finance/Are%20you%20a%20Multipler%20or%20Diminisher.pdf





Getting Started

- Eventually, a process will need to improve your results
 - Start with WHY <u>NOT HOW</u>.



Why

- Any person or organization can explain what they do
- Some can explain how they are different or better
- But few can clearly articulate why
- Why is not about Morbidity or Mortality/ Money or Profit – those are results
- Why is the thing that inspires us and inspires those around us







Surgery Why Statement for Indianapolis

- Our strategic focus is to care for our Veterans with compassion and thoughtfulness.
 - Surgery and procedures are the essence of what we do, but it is not the only way we care for our patients
 - Proceeding with surgery in an unoptimized patient dramatically increases the morbidity and mortality risks, and when unfortunate events intervene disappointments with outcomes.







Barriers

- Time
 - Multiplicity
 - Work hours
 - Preferences for work life balance
 - Fewer leaders to team members
 - You can only be at one place at one time
- Knowledge
 - They are not aware of what they are not aware of so you will have to teach
 - Challenge the assumptions
 - Reframe problems
- Resources
 - There is no such thing as a free lunch
 - You will have to compete to get people and equipment







Create Information

- Reports are sent on a weekly/monthly/quarterly basis that contain lots of data in spreadsheets
- Summarize the data
- Show perspective of data over time
- Demonstrates tradeoffs, rationale, and consequences

January 2022 – Present

Total FTE for OR Room Utilization	Staff Lost	Staff Gain	Remaining Open positions	Current Vacancy Rate (on paper)	Current FTE OFF orientation can be fully utilized	# Of Agency RN Support	True Operational Capacity per OR staffing	# Of Utilized OR rooms/Total OR rooms
58	31	18	13	22%	34	6	69%	6.5/11

Staff in Orientation	Discipline	Start Date	~Orientation Time	~Orientation End Date	Room Impact Progress	Room Impact (# of rooms open)	~Date OR room increase
А	RN	11/20/2022	6 months	6/2/2023		7.5 rooms	6/20/2023
В	RN	12/4/2022	6 months	<mark>5/22/2023</mark>		7.5 rooms	6/20/2023
С	RN	1/3/2023	6 months	6/2/2023		7.5 rooms	6/20/2023
D	CST	4/9/2023	3 months	7/5/2023			
E	RN	4/9/2023	4 months	8/15/2023			
F	RN	4/9/2023	4 months	8/15/2023			
G	RN	4/24/2023	4 months	8/15/2023			
Н	RN	12/19/2022	9 months	9/15/2023			
1	CST	4/9/2023	6 months	9/15/2023			
К	RN	4/9/2023	9 months	12/15/2023			
Agency RN							
х	RN	4/12/2023	2 weeks	5/3/2023			
Fee Basis Contractors							





Communication

- The 21st century unicorn is the one meeting where everyone is in the same place and the same time
- Be creative
 - Servers
 - SharePoints
 - E-mails
 - Zoom or Teams
 - Social Events
 - Impromptu lectures
- Be organized
 - Canned Talks
 - Resources for teaching
 - Schedule a time for a communication meeting and be consistent



Monthly Chief of Surgery Update

- Review all NSO data every quarter
- Off Months from NSO reports
 - Congratulate successes
 - Talk about projects to improve
 - Morbidity
 - SSI
 - Glucose control
 - Respiratory Failure
 - Mortality
 - Non-surgical deaths
 - MI, PE's, Sepsis
 - Remind people of rule initiatives
 - The bureaucracy will demand
 - Identify the problem being addressed
 - Assuage concerns


Reminders for Residents

- Born out of JSPR complaints
 - Takes the negative and makes it a positive
 - Reduces repeat errors and infractions
 - Cate avpactations

Overpacking a Consent

• <u>DO NOT</u> place the auxiliary provider and procedure as an ADD ON the primary surgery. YOU MUST CREATE A SECOND CONSENT FOR THE

AUXILIARY PROVIDER AND

doing it twice.

· Many times, it is routine to have another service perform an auxiliary procedure to help you complete your operation more efficiently, safer or with improved results (i.e., less pain, etc.)

PROCEDURE. Otherwise, you get the pleasure of

 Ureteral stent placement with lap colorectal cases or open rectal cases

Not My Job!

- You WILL get consults that are not part of your job
- RESTRAIN your frustration and annoyance
- RESIST the temptation to just say NO and NOT HELP
- DO LISTEN to the person consulting you and the problem they are asking help to solve.
- You know the system across the surgery world better than they do. Please HELP them solve their problem.
- By doing so, you help the consult requesting physician become a better doctor and you won't have to answer the same consult questions again and again on your rotation
- Keys to a successful surgeon in any environment: <u>BE AVAILABLE</u> and <u>BE AFFABLE</u>



Updated 3/8/2022

Pause for Surgery Criteria



- Refer to the preoperative optimization clinic (medical consult option)
 - RAI ≥37 with or without cancer diagnosis
 - Creatinine ≥ 2.0
- Home oxygen or <94% on RA
- · Inpatient medical admission for a problem unrelated to surgery and that surgery will not fix in the past year
- Hemoglobin A 1C \geq 8%





Contributed by J. Waters



Servers and SharePoints

- Use technology don't be apprehensive about it
- Share
 - Keeping reports locked up is the hallmark sign of a diminisher
 - Leveraging the skills and talents of your team means that they need to have access to the data
 - Data must be kept secure
 - Data must be kept behind the firewall
 - Analysis and Reports are not personal health information
- Allows for time desynchrony
 - If the talks, the transcripts, the recordings and the reports behind the presentation are all accessible then everyone doesn't have to be in one place at one time







How to build a better M&M Conference Leo Gordon 2007

- M&M had evolved into the old ABC's of Surgical Education: abuse, berate and chastise
- Conference should be the hub of education
- Fulfill the core competencies
- Rigorous criteria of balance between frequency, education value and staff interest
- Record the main error and complication reducing points
- Distribute periodically the summary
- Test your residents and staff on these issues



Gordon's Guide to the Surgical Morbidity and Mortality Conference

Leo A. Gordon M.o.





End Results System - Earnest Codman

- Of the 337 patients discharged between 1911 and 1916, Dr. Codman recorded and published 123 errors
- Symptoms for which the patient seeks relief
- Diagnosis of pathologic conditions which doctor thinks cause the symptoms
- Treatment plan
- Complications
- Diagnosis at discharge
- Results documented every year afterward
- It became evangelical in fervor
 - Facts are not debatable; and facts and then more facts are needed to deal with those difficult problems of unnecessary surgical operations and operations performed by untrained surgeons
 - Became ACS objective in 1916

	a 1	Face of End Result Card		
Sam Me Edward	1 James Gallison ant St. Now York 5. 11 Pl. 1 10-9.	10 18 City, N.G.	N. W. S. Date of	Ada Corradia Bass Sa 18 2 2 18 308
Prom. Adde. of Print Mas	Serry White, Ela	St. Salen, Mars.		
ramon Hearte	surcessentare of stoma	it adout on inch for	- pylacas .	Tell very hard one
anggested canes can renter a Opige No. hematems	es, estric pain seen alter m sis lat seme melene.	enlesince September	. Vomiting	Achleshydria.
un O.N. Mal	ier, son - 6. M. Join head unnecesing, - 64 ea pigeon's agg on her	and C. C. Calle. has by C. C. Lood.	h. Partial	gastreetemy.
Gall bladder j og pylerne. A	lett as if full of stanss Classed without drainey	. Guodenum norm ee.	al ancopt you	hypet inducation
and None. apparent course. set in Path. R.	Coccept that during or opart by J.A. Wrigh	enondescence he romit 1 Cancar.	id several les	regard A.B.C.
and the second	ncan en la	evenue of Ead Result Card	422.4	and a start of the
Date	1 14	konte		
July 15, 16	Remained well	1 antil March, 1918,	since which .	time similar symp.
A. C.		11-1-1-1	1 alianshis 1	





Codman's Book – 1916

- Dedicated to Richard C. Cabot
- "Because I respect his motives, admire his courage and energy, but heartily disapprove of some of his opinions and methods, for he seems to want to reform the bottom of the profession, while I think the blame belongs at the top"









Richard C. Cabot

- Case Histories 1906, Mistaken Diagnoses 1910
- Identification of Mistakes 1912
- A goodly number of "classic" time-honored mistakes in diagnosis are familiar to all experienced physicians because we make them again and again
- "Some of these we can avoid; others are almost inevitable, but all should be borne in mind and marked on medical maps by a danger-signal of some kind: "
 - "In this vicinity look out for hidden rocks," or "dangerous turn here, run slow"



Key Points about an Educational M&M at the VA

- Learn from your mistakes, and regard them as painful favors that enable you to grow
- In its modern form, the M&M conference embraces all ACGME core competencies and is a critical hour of surgical education.
- Graceful acceptance of criticism provides an effective learning experience and is the cornerstone of safe surgical practice
- Have each section have a one meeting at scheduled interval that is best for each service to review M&M
- Focus should be on constructive improvement
- A delicate balance of the themes of education, quality improvement, and socialization is required



"Our greatest glory is not in never failing, but in rising up every time we fail"

Ralph Waldo Emerson

Accountability

- Talk with people directly
- Go over reports together when there is joint responsibility
- When things go off the rails always have a face-to-face conversation
 - E-mails and texts lack non-verbal queues and can be more easily misinterpreted
 - Pull up a chair and Listen
 - People need to know when they are not meeting the standard
 - Set expectations













Performance vs. Trust



Worker 1 –low performance and low trust
= No Go
Worker 2 – High performer and low trust
= Toxic
Workers 3 – High trust with variable levels of performance

= Any of these workers will contribute to the organization and you can be successful





Coordinated Care

 Deliberately organizing patient care activities and sharing information among all the participants concerned with a patient's care to achieve safer and more effective care



3/2/2021

Contributed by Hayward





Final Thoughts

- A bad system will beat a good person every time
 - W. Edwards Deming



- Build a team not an empire
- A great team isn't just resilient it is anti-fragile in that it grows stronger because of adversity
- None of us is perfect or omnipotent
 - Be humble
 - Listen
 - Learn from failures







Questions







